

KENNEL UNION OF SOUTHERN AFRICA
AGENDA FOR A VIRTUAL MEETING OF THE FEDERAL COUNCIL TO BE HELD ON
FRIDAY 4, SATURDAY, 5 & SUNDAY, 6 DECEMBER 2020
VIA SKYPE

ITEM	Sub Item	Sub Item	AGENDA
1			ATTENDANCE, APOLOGIES & ANNOUNCEMENTS
2			MINUTES The Minutes of the meeting held on 27 & 28 June 2020 to be formally adopted.
3			ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS
	3.1		Annual Report by the Chairman
	3.2		Article 12.5 - Declaration of Interests The Article reads: <i>“Any member nominated to sit on the Federal Council, Executive Committee of the Kennel Union as well as the Executive Members (Chairman, Vice-Chairman, Secretary, Treasurer) of any Provincial Council of the Kennel Union, shall declare within one (1) month of appointment to the Secretary in writing all their interests, of whatsoever nature, in dog related activities. Where the governing body of the Kennel Union, or such person or persons to whom the authority is delegated, determine that any interests are in conflict with those of the Kennel Union, such nominated members’ appointment shall ipso facto be terminated.</i>
		3.2.1	Fedco Members
		3.2.2	Exco Members
	3.3		Audited Balance Sheet, Accounts and Auditors’ Report for the Year Ended 31.08.2020 Documents will be circulated prior to the meeting
	3.4		Election of Auditor The current Auditors are Sprigg Abbott Inc
	3.5		Article 16.2.2
		3.5.1	Election of Chairman of the Federal Council and Election of President – Article 16.2.2.1 The current Chairman, Clr CJL Griffith, retires on 31.12.2020 The current President, Mrs DE Powell, retires on 31.12.2020 <i>(Note: Article 14.7: The Provincial Council Chairmen and Vice-Chairman of the District of Gauteng and Surrounds members of the Federal Council shall submit to the Secretary nominations for the offices of President and of Chairman of the Federal Council which shall be placed on the Agenda for the last Federal Council meeting to be held in the fourth year of the terms of office of the current President and Chairman of the Federal Council.)</i> Nominations received for Chairman of the Federal Council Mrs JM Hubbard Nominations received for President of the Kennel Union of Southern Africa Mr CJL Griffith Mrs DE Powell
		3.5.2	Election of Vice-Chairman – Article 16.2.2.2 The current Vice-Chairman, Clr JM Hubbard retires on 31.12.2021
		3.5.3	Election of Patron – Article 16.2.2.3 Mr GR Eva is currently the Patron
		3.5.4	Election of Life Members – Article 16.2.2.3 List of Life Members: Mrs JD Barrow Brig. Gen NJ du Preez Mr GR Eva Mr CJL Griffith Mrs JM Hubbard Mr C Huyzers Mrs CM Immelman

		<p>Mr RB Jenkins Mr RD Juckes Mrs S Palmer (deceased in 2020) Mrs DE Powell Mr DC Sparg Mr BG Robinson Mrs L Wilson Adv R Zeeman</p> <p>It was resolved at Fedco 06-2018, that Exco Members be rewarded with Life Membership after four (4) years of service. The same applies to Fedco Members.</p>
	3.5.5	<p>Election of Life Vice-Presidents – Article 16.2.2.3 List of current life Vice-Presidents: Mr GR Eva Mr NS Kay Mrs DE Powell Dr HRA van der Merwe</p>
	3.5.6	<p>Election of Vice-Presidents – Article 16.2.2.3 List of current Vice-Presidents: Mr RB Jenkins – retires 31.12.2022 <i>The following Vice-Presidents retire on 31.12.20:</i> Mrs JD Barrow Mrs S Bloomfield</p>
	3.5.7	<p>Appointment of Legal Adviser to KUSA <i>At Fedco 12-2018, it was resolved that Brig Gen NJ du Preez should continue as Legal Adviser to the KUSA on an indefinite basis.</i> The current Legal Adviser to KUSA is Brig Gen NJ du Preez.</p>
	3.5.8	<p>Article 16.2.3 – Appeals Committee <i>“The Federal Council shall nominate at least three (3) members of the Federal Council, who shall act as an Appeals Committee on behalf of the Federal Council to consider any appeals lodged in terms of the Disciplinary Rules.”</i> <i>Note: It was customary for all Federal Councillors to be elected to the Appeals Committee. Three members were appointed to consider an Appeal, when necessary.</i></p>
	3.6	<p>Loss of Affiliation – Article 9 <i>Note: The following ruling was made at Fedco 06-2017:</i> <i>“Effective 01.01.2018, no licences will be issued for either Championship, or Non-Championship, Shows, unless Clubs are up to date with all returns required in terms of the KUSA Constitution.”</i></p> <p><i>The following ruling was made at Fedco 12-2017:</i> <i>“Clubs that fail to comply with the provisions of Articles 9.1.3 and 9.1.4 for a period of eighteen months from the Fedco Meeting where the breach was reported, will be recommended for disaffiliation.”</i></p>
	3.6.1	<p>Article 9.1.3 “Failure to submit minutes of Annual and/or Special General Meetings together with audited Financial Statements and balance sheets where applicable in terms of Article 8.6.2.” Information will be tabled prior to the meeting.</p>
	3.6.2	<p>Article 9.1.4 “9.1.4 Failure to adhere to Kennel Union Constitutional requirements in respect of: 9.1.4.1 Any event to be held, being held, or which was held under a Kennel Union licence 9.1.4.2 Schedule of Documents and Returns to be sent to the Secretary of KUSA, Schedule 8.” Information will be tabled prior to the meeting.</p>
	3.6.3	<p>Article 9.2 <i>Whenever an Affiliated Club’s annual affiliation fee remains unpaid one (1) month after the date of renewal, the Secretary shall send a written demand calling for payment forthwith and in the event of the fee remaining unpaid on the thirty-first (31st) day of October, the Club in default shall be removed from the list of Affiliated Clubs</i></p>

			Information will be tabled prior to the meeting.
	3.7		<p>Introduction of Lifetime Achievement Award (Fedco 12-2019 item 3.6.6) (Fedco 06-2020 item 3.6) At Fedco 12-2019, discussion indicated that it would be appropriate for KUSA to institute a Lifetime Achievement Award to honour persons who had devoted their time and talents to promoting the welfare of dogs and responsible dog ownership.</p> <p>GM and LT offered to formulate criteria for a Lifetime Achievement Award and to revert to Fedco 12-2020 with a proposal. Work in progress. Documents will be tabled if available.</p>
	3.8		<p>K9 Administration Upgrade Project Report to be tabled</p>
	3.9		<p>By-laws of Provincial Sub-Committees (Fedco 12-2017 item 7.20, Fedco 06-2018 item 3.10, Fedco 12-2018 item 3.9, Fedco 06-2020 item 3.8) <i>Carried forward from previous meeting.</i> Work in progress (GR)</p>
	3.10		<p>Code of Conduct for Club Officers – Proposed new Code (Fedco 06-2020 Item 3.9) <i>Carried forward from previous meeting.</i> Work in progress (GR)</p>
	3.11		FCI
		3.11.1	<p>Rhodesian Ridgeback Report on developments, if any.</p>
		3.11.2	<p>FCI Non-Mandatory Commissions (Fedco 12-2019 item 3.12.1) <i>As agreed at Fedco 12-2015, representatives should either be Fedco Members, Conveners of National Sub-Committees, or persons deemed suitable by Fedco. Appointees needed to have a thorough knowledge of the Discipline/subject in question.</i></p> <p>The following persons were appointed, or re-appointed, to serve as KUSA's representatives on the FCI's Non-Mandatory Commissions: Agility – Mr Rob Hall Breeding – Clr Chris Griffith Canicross – Mr Kyle Farmer Dog Dancing – Ms Kathy Clayton Flyball – Ms Liesl Twyman Rally Obedience – Mr Tinus van der Vyver Rescue Dogs – Mrs Robyn Harflett Shows – Clr Jenny Hubbard Show Judges – Clr Gael Morison Utility Dogs – Mr John Rautenbach Youth – Ms Andria Haskins Education and Public Relations – Clr Lori Thornhill Mondioring – Clr Jenny Hubbard Noted and agreed, unanimously.</p> <p>Representatives to be reviewed.</p>
	3.12		<p>Zimbabwe Kennel Club – Letter of Understanding (Fedco 06-2019 item 3.11, Fedco 12-2019 item 3.14, Fedco 06-2020 item 3.11) <i>Carried forward from previous meeting.</i> Work in progress (NdP)</p>
	3.13		<p>Fedco Portfolios <i>Fedco Members' Portfolios were updated at Fedco 06-2020 as follows:</i> Clr CJL Griffith <i>Finance, Fédération Cynologique Internationale (FCI), Information Technology, KUSA Constitution & Schedules</i> Clr JM Hubbard <i>Fédération Cynologique Internationale (FCI), Budgets, Breed Health, Mondioring, Rescue Dogs, Extraordinary Registrations, Internationale Gebrauchshunde Prüfungsordnung (IGP) and Tracking Trials</i> Clr CM Immelman</p>

		<p><i>Breed Shows, Handling, Registrations, Exports, Information Technology, Digital Communications, KUSA Web & Social Media.</i></p> <p>Clr RB Jenkins <i>Breed Health</i></p> <p>Clr RD Juckes <i>DNA, Genetic Health Issues, Dog Dancing, Working Trials</i></p> <p>Clr G Morison (offered to assist CI where appropriate and when required) <i>Shows, Carting, Canicross</i></p> <p>Clr DC Sparg <i>Agility, Dog Jumping, Field Trials, Obedience</i></p> <p>Clr BG Robinson <i>Media/Press, Budgets, KUSA Constitution & Schedules, Club Constitutions & By-laws, Provincial Council Constitutions & By-laws, KUSA Membership</i></p> <p>Clr L Thornhill <i>Information Technology, Handling, DNA, Genetic Health Issues, Canine Good Citizen (CGC), Flyball & Rally Obedience, Digital Communication, KUSA Web & Social Media</i></p> <p>Any further changes to be recorded at the meeting.</p>
	3.14	<p>Temporary Exclusion Order (TEO) (Fedco 12-2019 item 8.7, Fedco 06-2020 item 3.13) A revised procedure document has been prepared by Exco. To be tabled.</p>
	3.15	<p>Proposal to develop the KUSA website to accommodate interactive maps for identifying Breed Clubs and for KUSA to host of those Clubs' web pages, accessible via links from the maps, at a fee. Proposal submitted by the North West Staffordshire Bull Terrier Club via NAPC.</p> <p>Proposal: This proposal would benefit not only KUSA in creating revenue and awareness, but also the Breed/Group Clubs in creating awareness of their existence, as well as the public, in creating a safe platform as a "one-stop, go-to" website for all things dog-related.</p> <p>A 3-phase approach is suggested to achieve the desired outcome:</p> <p>Phase 1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Create interactive maps on the Home Page of the KUSA website for the different groups of dogs, i.e. • Click on Terrier Group in the top menu tab on the KUSA Website which will take you to a map of Southern Africa that includes all the dog Clubs that cater for Terriers (Terrier Speciality and Group Clubs only). • The Clubs will show up on the map as "dots" in their respective locations based on their addresses/name locations. If you click on the dot you will get redirected to the web page of the Club. (See Phase 2). • If there is no Breed-specific Club for a breed, a Group Club in the area can be chosen. • All-breeds Clubs/IGP Clubs/Dogsport Clubs, etc., could have their own interactive maps to show that KUSA also has Clubs that cover all breeds of dog, different forms of dogsport and a variety of dog activities in Southern Africa. <p>Phase 2 (This is the phase that creates revenue)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Many Clubs have old and outdated websites. It takes a lot of work to update and maintain these websites and more often than not they do not create sufficient awareness due to the lack of accessibility or "google clicks", plus it costs a Club in excess of R1000 a year to "rent" the domain space. • Instead of paying a service provider to host a website and paying for a domain name, etc., KUSA provides Clubs with an option to "rent" a page on its website. This would be the web page to which searchers will be redirected when they click on the map on the KUSA website. • All that might be reflected on the web page would be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ A slideshow box with photos from the last event or show results; ○ An announcement box with upcoming events, Show Schedule, AGM, etc.;

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ A Club introduction box which states the Objectives of the Club and what it stands for (Mission Statement); ○ An article box in which the Club can post interesting articles or relay information to the public on any pressing matter which may arise, for example, a Health issue or international developments in the breed, etc. ○ The contact details of the Club. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are many easy options to maintain this page, but it is recommended that the Club Secretary would also be able to update this page when logging into the Club Portal. So, after every show or event when the Secretary enters the Return of Entries onto the Club Portal, the Club Page can be updated at the same time. • The possibilities for revenue for KUSA would be the cost of renting a page to each Club in South Africa. The benefits to the Clubs would be the added public awareness of the existence of the Club created on the official KUSA website for them and making people aware that dog Clubs exist. <p>Phase 3</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The next phase would be to list the members of the respective dog Clubs. We are always having to deal with “puppy scams”, backyard breeders, etc., in the dog world. We always try to educate people by saying “when you start searching for a puppy, work through your local breed Club”. • So logically this would be the next step – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ On each Club Page you would have a list of paid up (Club and KUSA) members for the year which gets updated after every AGM. These members could also be given the option of “renting” a page from KUSA (another revenue stream). If you click on the Club member, you will then be redirected to their personal page/website with all information. It will again be only a web page with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ A slide show box where they can showcase their dogs, show wins, etc. ▪ A box saying who they are, what they stand for, etc. ▪ An article/announcement box. ▪ Contact details. • This page could be maintained by the member when they log into their KUSA Members profile. • Renting out these pages will not create any work for KUSA. The page could be a standard template or a choice from 2/3 layouts that can be rented by the Club, or the member. Colours should be able to be adjusted. But the 4/5 different drop-down boxes could be a fixed application on each page which can simply be updated by the different Club Secretaries and KUSA Members.
	3.16	<p>Tiered Membership for Club Officers Proposal submitted by the Western Cape Provincial Council Reference: (attached) Appendix 1: FEDCO 06-20 minutes issued 16.07.2020 Article 8.6 Appendix 2: Current KUSA fee structure as at 1 September 2020</p> <p>PROPOSAL Introduce an additional membership category: ASSOCIATE MEMBERSHIP Club Officers Only: R150 per annum Application must include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Letter from the club – signed by 2 alternate club officials, both KUSA members in good standing – supporting the appointment of the Club Officer. 2. Copy of the meeting where incumbent was elected to the position. 3. Proof of payment of membership fees. <p>Restrictions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This level of membership is for Club Officers only. 2. It does not provide for any other KUSA related activities such as entering shows or registering dogs. 3. KUSA membership number should have an alternate composition to full membership for easy identification. i.e. 123 AB or 123 – CO or 123-OB 4. Blocked for any other activity i.e registration, shows, etc

			<p>Background and Context:</p> <p>Even prior to COVID-19 and the challenges that came with the pandemic, clubs were struggling to find suitable people to serve on committees. Numbers have steadily declined year on year, and life and work pressures clearly limit the amount of time that the average person is able to dedicate to tasks required as a Club Officer.</p> <p>Committees are very small – sometimes only made up of the 4 Club Officers, who often serve on more than 1 club committee. Many committee members also show. To run a show and show dogs at the same event can be perceived as a conflict of interest, particularly when there is a real need to interact with the judges before and during the event.</p> <p>In the past folk outside of the dog world (non-KUSA members) were asked to stand as a Club Officer. Particularly in the case of Treasurer or Secretary if the person had specific skills in these areas i.e. retired accountant or administrator. This option provided a 'neutral party' to manage the show day, and an opportunity for the club to access a certain level of expertise. Over and above this, dog folk who retired from showing and breeding, had an opportunity to continue to contribute to dogdom by working behind the scenes and sharing their expertise.</p> <p>The rule that all Club Officers are required to be fully paid-up members, in good standing, of the KUSA, is adding another level of complexity to the struggle to keep clubs up and running and committees functioning.</p> <p>Asking Non KUSA members who do not show or breed to pay a full KUSA Membership to serve as a Club Officer is counter intuitive and a huge limitation to encouraging new people to join the dog world in anything other than showing or breeding.</p> <p>The cost of joining KUSA is R998.00 and there is no compelling benefit for the individual or the club to warrant this expense. Clubs cannot afford to pay this to ensure that their Club Officers comply, and there is definitely no added benefit to the individual offering their services.</p>
4			MATTERS ARISING
	4.1		Any Matters Related to the Articles – no submissions
	4.2		Any Matters Relating to Disciplinary Action – Schedule 1 – no submissions
	4.3		Any Matters Related to the Registration of Dogs – Schedule 2
		4.3.1	<p>Breed Health – Advanced Registration Certificate/Enhanced Registration Certificate (Fedco 06-2020 item 8.3)</p> <p><i>Further discussion took place on the envisaged implementation of the Grading Scheme and whether the issuing of an Advanced Registration Certificate (ARC) could be used as a possible method of encouraging Health testing and screening. As also alluded to under item 4.3.2, the ARC had, for whatever reason, not proved popular and maybe a less elitist form of Enhanced Registration Certificate should be considered for breeders who voluntarily subject their dogs to Health testing and screening.</i></p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Work in progress</p>
		4.3.2	<p>Schedule 2 – Appendix “C” – Breed Specific Litter Requirements - Belgian Shepherd Dogs (Fedco 06-2020 item 4.3.2)</p> <p>Motivation: <i>The Highveld Belgian Shepherd Dog Club and the Belgian Shepherd Dog Club of the Western Cape were in agreement that, in order to retain, preserve and ensure future quality breeding of the Belgian Shepherd Dogs Malinois, Groenendael, Tervueren and Laekenois, Breed-specific Litter Requirements needed to be specified under Schedule 2. Fedco was requested to consider the following insertion:</i></p> <p><i>Insertions <u>underlined</u>.</i></p> <p><u>9. Belgian Shepherd Dogs</u></p> <p><u>9.1 Dam & Sire are positively identified by microchip profiling before any X-ray examination is made. The microchip identification number is included on all developed X-ray film/digital image and on the certificate.</u></p> <p><u>9.2 Belgian Shepherd Dogs must be presented for hip and elbow x-rays. At the time of x-ray, a dog must be 18 months of age or older.</u></p> <p><u>9.3 The breeder is the current holder of a KUSA-registered Affix (Kennel Name).</u></p> <p><u>9.4 At the time of mating, the Sire is eighteen (18) months of age or older.</u></p> <p><u>9.5 At the time of whelping, the Dam is twenty-two (22) months of age or older.</u></p>

		<p><u>9.6 Only Belgian Shepherd Dogs with hip scores of A1, A2, B1 may be mated to Belgian Shepherds with hip scores of B2, C1 or C2. Belgian Shepherds with hip scores of A1, A2 or B1 may be mated to each other. Should your dog have an uneven hip score you work on the worse score, i.e. HD B1/C1 you will work with C1.</u></p> <p><u>9.7 Only Belgian Shepherd Dogs with elbow scores ED 2/2 or lower may be bred.</u></p> <p><u>9.8 The following health tests are recommended, but not compulsory: Artaxia, PRA and Epilepsy.</u></p> <p><i>Discussion ensued on whether it would not be preferable to introduce an Enhanced Registration Certificate than impose restrictions on registrations. The Federal Council had, in the past, resolved that it would not introduce further breed-specific restrictions on litter registrations and, instead, introduced the Advanced Registration Certificate (ARC).</i></p> <p><i>After thorough deliberation, it was concluded that the introduction of breed-specific restrictions was part of a much wider discussion relative to the improvement of Breed Health. It was noted that the uptake of ARCs had been poor, but conceded that, perhaps, the availability of ARCs had not been sufficiently marketed. It was further noted that ARCs were only available to Breed Champions, which might also have proved a further deterring factor.</i></p> <p><i>There was general consensus that, given the worldwide preoccupation with Breed Health, this was a matter which required careful consideration, perhaps with a view to introducing a universal system of reward, instead of restraint. It was agreed that matters of this nature needed to be more appropriately considered by the Fedco Breed Health Portfolio-holders, who would make recommendations to Fedco.</i></p> <p><i>JH and LT undertook to arrange a Skype call with the Clubs concerned to explain Fedco's position on the issue.</i></p> <p><i>Deferred to Fedco 12-2020.</i></p>
	4.3.3	<p>Inter-variety breeding of Chihuahuas</p> <p>Proposal for the Federal Council to declare inter-variety breeding of Chihuahua (Smooth Coat) and Chihuahua (Long Coat) to be “desirable in the interests of improving the breed where similar arrangements have been permitted by the Club in the country of origin or development of such breeds” as provided for in Schedule 2 Regulation 1.1.6.</p> <p>Proposal submitted by The Chihuahua Club via DOGSPC.</p> <p>Motivation: In South Africa, the Chihuahua breed is bred and assessed in accordance with the Breed Standard of The Kennel Club (UK) and The Chihuahua Club and breeders of Chihuahuas strive to consistently follow changes approved by The Kennel Club (UK), including those related to breeding.</p> <p>Inter-variety mating of the two coat-types of Chihuahua was approved by The Kennel Club on 2 June 2020. It is the view of The Chihuahua Club, and the majority of its members, that the gene pool in South Africa would benefit greatly from genetic diversity and that inter-variety breeding would lead to the breeding of sounder dogs of correct type. Genetic faults in either Long Coats, or Smooth Coats, could conceivably be corrected with the greater number of dogs available for breeding. Imports into South Africa invariably carry genes for both coat-types, as inter-variety breeding of Chihuahuas has been permitted in many countries of the world for a considerable time.</p> <p>Puppies from inter-variety matings born after the sought acceptance of this proposal by the Federal Council would be registered as either Smooth Coat, or Long Coat, as determined by the breeder. Should the breeder be unsure of the variety of a particular puppy, The Chihuahua Club has undertaken to make the determination.</p> <p>In making its decision, the Federal Council is requested to consider the proposal put to The Kennel Club by the ten Chihuahua Clubs in the UK, as well as the recommendations of the UK's Chihuahua Breed Health Coordinator, Dr Geoffrey Curr. His views are supported by Dr Tom Lewis, Quantitative Geneticist and Genetics Research Manager at The Kennel Club, who concluded that inter-variety breeding would be beneficial for the Chihuahua breed. In this regard, the Federal Council is respectfully requested to consult the substantiation submitted to the UK at https://dogsmoonthly.co.uk/2020/06/08/kennel-club-to-allow-inter-variety-mating-in-chihuahuas/.</p>

	4.4		Breed Standards Committee (BSC) List of Breed Standards amended/revised under the KUSA Protocol for Adopting Breed Standards to be tabled.
	4.5		Any Matters Relating to Shows & Schedule 3
	4.5.1		<p>Schedule 3 – Regulation 42 Champion Dogs – Regulation 42.1.1.1 (Fedco 06-2020 item 4.5.2) <i>It was proposed by KZNPC that the decision at Fedco 08-2018 to void Schedule 3 Regulation 42.1.1.1, be rescinded.</i> <i>In the ensuing discussion, the point was made that the above proposal called for a reversal of the Fedco decision made in 2018 to require one CC towards championship status to be obtained in a different Centre, whereas the motivation referred to the reinstatement of an “out-of-Province CC”. These two options for obtaining championship status differed significantly, given the declaration of venues such as Goldfields Showgrounds in Gauteng and Ashley Sports Ground in KZN as Centre-neutral.</i></p> <p><i>GM clarified that KZN required an out-of-Province CC to be introduced, and accepted that this requirement would necessitate a fresh proposal which did not call for the voidance to Regulation 42.1.1.1 to be rescinded, but a new Regulation 42.1.1.1 to be inserted. LT advised that the WCPC supported a reversal of the voidance of the Regulation 42.1.1.1, in other words, was eager for the out-of-Centre CC to be reinstated.</i></p> <p><i>The point was made that there was nothing preventing KZNPC and WCPC from submitting separate proposals to Fedco 12-2020, and this course of action was recommended to the two Councils.</i> Deferred to Fedco 12-2020.</p> <p>Resubmission from KZNPC as requested above: Motivation: Minutes of Fedco 12-2018 on the subject of the Elimination of the Away CC 9.5 Elimination of the “away-CC” for Championship Status</p> <p><i>At Fedco 06-2018, Schedule 3 Regulation 42.1.1.1 was voided:</i></p> <p>42.1.1.1 not more than four (4) of the minimum five (5) points were gained in one Centre. Effective 01.02.2019</p> <p>Proposal: KZNPC strongly motivated for the Regulation voided in 2018 to be replaced by one providing for an out-of-Province CC, rather than an out-of-Centre CC, as follows:</p> <p><u>Insertions underlined</u> and deletions in [struck through square brackets].</p> <p>New Regulation 42.1.1.1 42.1.1.1 not more than four (4) of the minimum five (5) points were gained in one [Centre] <u>national Province</u>.</p>
	4.6		Any Matters Relating to Schedule 3A – no submissions
	4.7		Any Matters Relating to Schedule 4 – no submissions
	4.8		Any Matters Relating to Schedule 4A - no submissions
5			WORKING DISCIPLINES
	5.1		Schedule 5A – Regulations for Obedience Classes
	5.1.1		Report by NSC Convener – (attached)
	5.1.2		<p>Canine Good Citizen (CGC) (Fedco 06-2019 end of item 5.1) <i>The following was recorded at Fedco 06-2019:</i> <i>It was noted that there was a great deal of confusion as to who should be authorised to conduct CGC assessments on dogs issued with Temporary Exclusion Orders (TEOs). The entire CGC system was, in fact, in dire need of prescription of procedures and it was decided, unanimously, that Exco, in collaboration with CI and KF, should present a proposed procedures document for CGC assessment at Fedco 12-2019.</i> <i>Work in progress.</i></p> <p><i>At Fedco 06-2020, CI explained that she had not yet been able to devote time to investigating the CGC system. BJ advised that Exco unfortunately lacked qualified persons to advise on the suitability of CGC</i></p>

			<p>as a 'test' for dogs served with a TEO. Should input from Exco be required, he would need to co-opt appropriate expertise.</p> <p>Carried forward from previous meeting. Work in progress.</p> <p>Progress Report from Claire Patterson-Abrolat is attached.</p>								
	5.2		Schedule 5B(01) – Regulations for Working Trials (Classic)								
		5.2.1	Report by NSC Convener – (attached)								
		5.2.2	<p>Proposals to amend Schedule 5B (01) (Regulations for Working Trials - Classic) and 5B (04) (Regulations for Working Utility Dog) Proposals submitted by the National Working Trials (Classic) Sub-Committee Appropriate sections of the Schedules attached with the amendments to indicated. Insertions <u>underlined</u> and deletions in [struck through square brackets].</p> <p>Proposal 1 To amend the height categories for the “A” Frame Motivation: a) It is not clear what the original height categories were based on, but it is evident that the smaller dogs have difficulty coping with the jump specifications. The above changes align the height categories more closely with that of Agility and should make the test more appealing to a wider range of dogs. b) While there has always been an increase of difficulty required for the large dogs (i.e. negotiating a 70 cm Clear Jump in CD and an 80 cm Clear Jump in TDI and TDII, the same was not required for small-and medium-sized dogs. The above changes now reflect this increase in difficulty as dogs progress through the Classes. c) The change to the “A” Frame measurements ensure that the angle (ground to ramp) of the jump remains the same across all three height categories.</p> <p>2.12.3 The Clear Jump Made of metal, wood or plastic and may include unprepared timber and brushwood. The portion to be jumped must have heights as follows: CD 70cm, TDI 80cm, TDII 80cm, PD 80cm. There shall be a minimum clear width of 1.20m and a maximum thickness of 5cm at the top. The top must consist of a rigid bar easily dislodged if touched by a jumping dog. The body of the jump may be open or closed, or partly so.</p> <p>2.12.4 The Long Jump The Long Jump shall be made of metal, wood or plastic and may include unprepared timber and brushwood. The jump shall have a maximum height of 30cm and a minimum clear width of 1.20m. The front and back parts of the Jump (in the direction of the dog's jump) shall be visible to the dog and so made as to move if struck by more than a glancing blow by a jumping dog. The Jump shall be in length for various classes as follows: CD 1.4m, TDI 2m, TDII 2m, PD 2m.</p> <p>2.12.5 “A” Frame The “A” Frame consists of two (2) board faces each with a height of 1.9m and a width of 1.2 - 1.5m connected (hinged) at the top. <u>These measurements are suitable for dogs measuring Large but must be adjusted as per Annexure A and B for dogs measuring into the Small and Medium categories.</u> At ground level these boards are separated by such a distance that the vertical heights for each class are as follows: CD 1.60m, TDI 1.80m, TDII 1.80m, PD 1.80m. Each board of the “A” Frame is fitted with <u>three (3)</u> [five (5)] climbing ledges (fillets) of 2.4 x 4.8cm. The “A” Frame shall have a non-slip surface.</p> <p>2.12.6 The dimensions stated in sub-paragraphs 2.12.3, 2.12.4 and 2.12.5 may be varied in the Companion Dog Grade and in all Tracker Dog Grades in the category Working Trials (Classic) only as follows:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <th>Dogs height at withers</th><th>Clear Jump</th><th>Long Jump</th><th>“A” Frame height</th></tr> <tr> <td>Companion Dog</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> </table>	Dogs height at withers	Clear Jump	Long Jump	“A” Frame height	Companion Dog			
Dogs height at withers	Clear Jump	Long Jump	“A” Frame height								
Companion Dog											

		<table><tr><td><u>Small</u>: Dogs up to and including [25 cm] <u>35 cm</u></td><td>30 cm</td><td>[60 cm] <u>50 cm</u></td><td>1 m</td></tr><tr><td><u>Medium</u>: Over <u>35 cm</u> [25 cm] up to and including 45 cm</td><td><u>40 cm</u> [45 cm]</td><td><u>1.0 m</u> [1.2 m]</td><td>1.5 m</td></tr><tr><td><u>Large</u>: Dogs over 45 cm</td><td>70 cm</td><td>1.4 m</td><td>1.6 m</td></tr><tr><td colspan="4">Tracker Dog I and II, Police Dog</td></tr><tr><td><u>Small</u>: Dogs up to and including 35 cm</td><td><u>35 cm</u></td><td><u>60 cm</u></td><td><u>1 m</u></td></tr><tr><td><u>Medium</u>: Over 35 cm up to and including 45 cm</td><td><u>45 cm</u></td><td><u>1.2 m</u></td><td><u>1.5 m</u></td></tr><tr><td><u>Large</u>: Dogs over 45 cm</td><td>80 cm</td><td>2.0 m</td><td>1.8 m</td></tr></table>	<u>Small</u> : Dogs up to and including [25 cm] <u>35 cm</u>	30 cm	[60 cm] <u>50 cm</u>	1 m	<u>Medium</u> : Over <u>35 cm</u> [25 cm] up to and including 45 cm	<u>40 cm</u> [45 cm]	<u>1.0 m</u> [1.2 m]	1.5 m	<u>Large</u> : Dogs over 45 cm	70 cm	1.4 m	1.6 m	Tracker Dog I and II, Police Dog				<u>Small</u> : Dogs up to and including 35 cm	<u>35 cm</u>	<u>60 cm</u>	<u>1 m</u>	<u>Medium</u> : Over 35 cm up to and including 45 cm	<u>45 cm</u>	<u>1.2 m</u>	<u>1.5 m</u>	<u>Large</u> : Dogs over 45 cm	80 cm	2.0 m	1.8 m
<u>Small</u> : Dogs up to and including [25 cm] <u>35 cm</u>	30 cm	[60 cm] <u>50 cm</u>	1 m																											
<u>Medium</u> : Over <u>35 cm</u> [25 cm] up to and including 45 cm	<u>40 cm</u> [45 cm]	<u>1.0 m</u> [1.2 m]	1.5 m																											
<u>Large</u> : Dogs over 45 cm	70 cm	1.4 m	1.6 m																											
Tracker Dog I and II, Police Dog																														
<u>Small</u> : Dogs up to and including 35 cm	<u>35 cm</u>	<u>60 cm</u>	<u>1 m</u>																											
<u>Medium</u> : Over 35 cm up to and including 45 cm	<u>45 cm</u>	<u>1.2 m</u>	<u>1.5 m</u>																											
<u>Large</u> : Dogs over 45 cm	80 cm	2.0 m	1.8 m																											
		<p>Proposal 2 To amend the height categories for the “A” Frame Motivation: a) As WUD Regulations are closely aligned to Working Trials (Classic) Regulations, the above changes aligns to that of the previous section. The heights of the dogs are now more closely aligned with that of Agility which should make the test more appealing to owners of small and medium dogs. b) The change to the “A” Frame measurements ensure that the angle (ground to ramp) of the jump remains the same across all three height categories.</p> <p>2.15 The Clear Jump The Clear Jump shall be made of metal, wood or plastic and may include unprepared timber and brushwood. There shall be a minimum clear width of 1.20m and a maximum thickness of 5cm at the top. The top must consist of a rigid bar easily dislodged if touched by a jumping dog. The body of the jump may be open or closed, or partly so.</p> <p>2.16 The Long Jump The Long Jump shall be made of metal, wood or plastic and may include unprepared timber and brushwood. The jump shall have a maximum height of 30cm and a minimum clear width of 1.20m. The front and back parts of the Jump (in the direction of the dog’s jump) shall be visible to the dog and so made as to move if struck by more than a glancing blow by a jumping dog.</p> <p>2.17 “A” Frame The “A” Frame consists of two (2) board faces each with a height of 1.9m and a width of 1.2 - 1.5m connected (hinged) at the top. <u>These measurements are suitable for dogs measuring Large but must be adjusted as per Annex A for dogs measuring into the Small and Medium categories.</u> Each board of the “A” Frame is fitted with <u>three (3)</u> [five (5)] climbing ledges (fillets) of 2.4 x 4.8cm. The “A” Frame shall have a non-slip surface.</p> <p>2.18 The dimensions may be varied in the Working Utility Dog stake only as follows:</p> <table><tr><th>Dogs height at withers</th><th>Clear Jump</th><th>Long Jump</th><th>“A” Frame height</th></tr><tr><td><u>Small</u>: Dogs up to and including <u>35 cm</u> [25 cm]</td><td>30 cm</td><td><u>50 cm</u> [60 cm]</td><td>1 m</td></tr><tr><td><u>Medium</u>: Over <u>35 cm</u> [25 cm] up to and including 45 cm</td><td><u>40 cm</u> [45 cm]</td><td><u>1.0 m</u> [1.2 m]</td><td>1.5 m</td></tr><tr><td><u>Large</u>: Dogs over 45 cm</td><td>70 cm</td><td>1.4 m</td><td>1.6 m</td></tr></table> <p>(Illustrations – Annexure A and B attached)</p>		Dogs height at withers	Clear Jump	Long Jump	“A” Frame height	<u>Small</u> : Dogs up to and including <u>35 cm</u> [25 cm]	30 cm	<u>50 cm</u> [60 cm]	1 m	<u>Medium</u> : Over <u>35 cm</u> [25 cm] up to and including 45 cm	<u>40 cm</u> [45 cm]	<u>1.0 m</u> [1.2 m]	1.5 m	<u>Large</u> : Dogs over 45 cm	70 cm	1.4 m	1.6 m											
Dogs height at withers	Clear Jump	Long Jump	“A” Frame height																											
<u>Small</u> : Dogs up to and including <u>35 cm</u> [25 cm]	30 cm	<u>50 cm</u> [60 cm]	1 m																											
<u>Medium</u> : Over <u>35 cm</u> [25 cm] up to and including 45 cm	<u>40 cm</u> [45 cm]	<u>1.0 m</u> [1.2 m]	1.5 m																											
<u>Large</u> : Dogs over 45 cm	70 cm	1.4 m	1.6 m																											
5.3		Schedule 5B(04) – Regulations for Working Utility Dog (WUD)																												
	5.3.1	Report by NSC Convener – (see 5.2.1 above)																												
	5.3.2	Proposal submitted by the National Working Trials (Classic) Sub-committee – (see 5.2.2 above)																												
5.4		Schedule 5B(2) – Regulations for International Working Trials and International Tracking Trials (IGP) – no submissions																												
	5.4.1	Report by NSC Convener – (attached)																												
5.5		Schedule 5B(3) – Regulations for Tracking Trials – no submissions																												
5.6		Schedule 5C – Field Trials																												

	5.6.1	Report by NSC Convener & Financial Statements – (attached)
	5.6.2	Proposed Regulations for Gundog Hunting Tests (Deutsch Drahthaar/German Wire-haired Pointing Dog) - Schedule 5C(5) Proposals submitted by the Field Trials Liaison Council. Schedule 5C(5) attached. Specimen Score Sheets attached under Schedule 5C(6) are included in Schedule 5C(5): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Schedule 6C(6) – Specimen Novice Tests Score Sheet • Schedule 6C(6) – Specimen SA-AZP Adv Score Sheet • Schedule 6C(6) – Specimen SA-Derby Score Sheet • Schedule 6C(6) – Specimen SA-Solms Adv Score Sheet
	5.6.3	Proposed Regulations for Versatile Tests – Pointing Breeds - Schedule 5C(6) Proposals submitted by the Field Trials Liaison Council. Schedule 5C(6) attached. Specimen documents attached under Schedule 5C(6) as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Schedule 6C(6) – Specimen Senior Judge's Versatile Hunt Test Report • Schedule 6C(6) – Specimen Novice Tests Score Sheet • Schedule 6C(6) – Specimen SA-AZP Adv Score Sheet • Schedule 6C(6) – Specimen SA-Derby Score Sheet • Schedule 6C(6) – Specimen SA-Solms Adv Score Sheet • Schedule 6C(6) – Specimen Specimen Secretary's Report Versatile Hunt Test
	5.7	Schedule 5D – Regulations for Dog Jumping – no submissions.
	5.7.1	Report by NSC Convener – (attached)
	5.8	Schedule 5E - Regulations for Special Events – no submissions.
	5.9	Schedule 5G – Regulations for Dog Carting – no submissions.
	5.9.1	Report by NSC Convener: As we approach the last quarter of 2020, we realise that 2020 has come and gone without much Dog Carting. I would nevertheless like to thank all the Provincial Conveners for their continued interest in our very special dog sport. The first 3 months of the year we saw Carting Shows taking place in all of our provinces. We thank all the Clubs that include Carting on their Schedules; this is greatly appreciated. We know that all provinces had planned extensive shows that had to be cancelled throughout the year due to lockdown at many levels. Sadly, we have lost some of our Carting Dogs due to death and old age. When we return to Shows next year, we'll remember them fondly. We wonder what 2021 will hold for us - possibly smaller Dog Carting entries for whatever reason. It leaves me to thank our Carting Judges who stand and work in all kinds of weather. Without you we would not be able to hold Shows. Yours in dogdom, Eleanor Gow
	5.10	Schedule 5K – Regulations for Handler Classes – no submissions.
	5.11	Schedule 5L – Regulations for Agility Classes – no submissions.
	5.11.1	Report by NSC Convener – (attached)
	5.12	Schedule 5M – Regulations for Flyball
	5.12.1	Report by NSC Convener – (attached)
	5.12.2	Proposal to amend Schedule 5M (Regulations for Flyball), essentially to align the Regulations with those of the FCI Submitted by the National Flyball Sub-Committee The amendments of the applicable Regulations are together with the motivation for each amendment. Documents attached.
	5.13	Aptitude Testing – no submissions.
	5.14	Schedule 5Q – Regulations for Rescue Dog Trials (RDT) – no submissions.
	5.15	Dancing with Dogs

		<p>Proposals to amend Schedules 5R (Heelwork to Music) and 5S (Musical Freestyle) Proposals submitted by the Dog Dancing Sub-Committees of DOGSPC & NAPC Schedules attached with amendments pertaining to both proposals.</p> <p>Proposal 1 To include Video Competitions in the Regulations for HTM and MT</p> <p>Motivation: The DOGSPC and NAPC Dog Dancing Sub-Committees have identified the necessity of including Video Competition in the HTM and MF Regulations, which has become standard practice throughout the world. This would not only render the Discipline of Dog Dancing less vulnerable to cancellation of events due to unforeseen circumstances like COVID-19, but also create opportunities for additional shows, which would create more income for KUSA and the Dog Dancing Association.</p> <p>It is contemplated that the Live shows would stay as they are, with a clause inserted on the Show Schedule that, in the event of the show having to be cancelled due to events beyond the control of the organising committee, the show will take place via Video recording.</p> <p>The two Provincial Dog Dancing Sub-Committees do their best to promote the sport as widely as possible and, by creating opportunities to enter via Video, competitors everywhere would be given a chance to submit their routines. Handlers experiencing problems with training fearful dogs would be able to work their dogs from the comfort of their homes, thereby increasing their chances of acquiring QCs and eventually Championship status. This national involvement is also likely to boost KUSA's membership.</p> <p>Fedco is respectfully requested to authorise the implementation of the amended Schedules with immediate effect.</p> <p>Proposal 2 To include a "weave" into the HTM Regulations.</p> <p>Motivation: This amendment is requested as part of the Sub-Committees' work to refine the Discipline in line with the international FCI guidelines.</p> <p>Fedco is respectfully requested to authorise the implementation of the amended Schedule 5R with immediate effect.</p>
	5.15.1	<p>Schedule 5R - Heelwork to Music (HTM) <u>Insertions underlined</u> and deletions in [struck through square brackets]. Schedule attached.</p>
	5.15.2	<p>Schedule 5S – Musical Freestyle (MF) <u>Insertions underlined</u> and deletions in [struck through square brackets]. Schedule attached.</p>
	5.16	<p>Schedule 5T – Regulations for Rally Obedience – no submissions.</p>
	5.17	<p>Canicross Documentation to be tabled prior to and at the meeting. (attached)</p>
	5.18	<p>Schedule 5U – Mondioring – no submissions</p>
	5.19	<p>Trick Dog Test within KUSA (Fedco 06-2020 item 8.10) <i>It was agreed, unanimously, that the establishment of Cyber Sport Division should be encouraged and that the parties who had mooted the possibility should be invited to prepare a fully motivated formal proposal for consideration at Fedco 12-2020.</i> Deferred to Fedco 12-2020.</p> <p>Proposal for a new Schedule 5(?) – Regulations for a Trick Dog Test Proposal submitted by Claire Patterson-Abrolat, Gaby Grohovaz and Liz Chamberlain via NAPC & DOGSPC. Schedule attached.</p> <p>Motivation: The onset of COVID-19 has seen substantial changes to all our lives. We are living through history, and the only thing one can be certain of right now is that change will continue to define our lives</p>

		<p>for the foreseeable future. It is safe to say that the world will never be as we knew it to be just a few months ago.</p> <p>The cancellation of many dog Shows, including World Championship events, is just one of the inconveniences many of us have experienced. We thus need to be aware of and take advantage of the changes that have happened recently and are likely to stay, with more to come.</p> <p>The key to a post-lockdown world is for us all to not just bounce back, but to bounce FORWARD and make the world a better place with stronger, happier people. This proposal is to motivate for a new Test within the KUSA suite of Working Disciplines, one that will align closely with the Canine Good Citizen scheme.</p> <p>In broad outline, this Test will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cater for both KUSA members and their registered dogs and non-KUSA members, i.e. domestic pet owners. This could provide an incentive to members of the National Canine Care Club, once operative. • Cater for all breeds of dogs including cross-breeds, service dogs such as guide dogs and disabled dogs. • Consist of 3–5 levels, with the lowest being restricted to owners that are not regular competitors of KUSA, i.e. the newly attracted domestic owner. The highest level will be a Championship Stake, restricted to KUSA members and their registered dogs (including dogs on the Dogsport and Appendix Registers). • In response to the social distancing requirements that are likely to stay with us for some time, owners/handlers will be able to either submit their applications to pass each level via video or perform the tricks live in front of a suitably qualified judge. • As with Canine Good Citizen, the testing of dogs will not be restricted to licensed shows but will require a licensed Judge to evaluate the dogs. • A testing fee for each level will be paid directly to KUSA, which has the potential of generating some much-needed funding for the organisation. • Handlers will receive a certificate and rosette upon successful completion of each level. <p>The Dog Trick Test will be structured loosely on the “Do More With Your Dog Programme” in the USA, which is also recognised and offered by the American Kennel Club. The Dog Trick Test will be given a South African flavour and will consist of a pre-determined list of tricks (with specifications for performance) for each level and dogs will have to successfully execute a certain number of tricks to pass a level and progress to the next stage.</p>
5.20		<p>Proposal for new Schedule 5 (?) – Regulations for Scent Work</p> <p>Proposals submitted by the Rainbow Hungarian Vizsla Club via DOGSPC Schedule attached.</p> <p>Proposal</p> <p>To include Scent Work as a new Working Discipline under KUSA</p> <p>Motivation: As a Club for Gundog owners, and having surveyed our members, we’ve concluded that our Club Members are generally not interested hunting with their dogs, but are keen to get involved in a dogsport where they can develop and utilise the “search and scent” capability of Hungarian Vizslas. Having researched the possibilities, we’ve come to realise that this is a need of many dog owners, irrespective of breed.</p> <p>Scent Work is a well-established dog sport in many countries and mimics the work of Detection Dogs, but in a fun way. Scent Work is currently one of the fastest growing branches of dogsport internationally in many countries. Part of the appeal is that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It utilises the dog’s main sense, which is smell • It is open to all dogs: all breeds, cross-breeds and disabled dogs included • It can be adapted to include disabled (e.g. wheelchair) handlers • It has fairly simple rules and can be trained at home or in a class environment • Tools needed are readily available and fairly inexpensive

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It's LOADS of fun! <p>This dogsport is already recognised under the American Kennel Club and the attached Regulations have been substantially based on the American model, as it is easy to understand and more accessible to all. Some changes had to be made, e.g. changing some of the scents used to ones that are readily available in South Africa.</p>
	5.21	Schedule 7 Subscriptions & Fees – no submissions.
	5.22	Schedule 8 – Schedule of Documents and Returns to be sent to the Secretary – no submissions
	5.23	Judges Education Council (JEC)
	5.23.1	Chairman's Report -
	5.23.2	Schedule 10 – Any Matters related to Breed Judging
6		BREED/LIAISON COUNCILS
	6.1	Rottweiler Breed Council of KUSA (RBC)
	6.1.1	Chairman's Report – (attached)
	6.1.2	<p>Amendment to Schedule 3 Regulation 31 to permit the awarding of a 1-point Reserve Challenge Certificate at Rottweiler Specialist Championship Shows where twenty (20) or more dogs of the same sex are being exhibited Proposal from the Rottweiler Breed Council</p> <p><u>Insertions underlined</u> Schedule 3 - Regulations for Championship Shows</p> <p>21. CHALLENGE CERTIFICATES AND RESERVE CHALLENGE CERTIFICATES</p> <p>21.1 Challenge Certificates signed by the Judge and Show Secretary shall be available to Exhibitors within thirty (30) days of the date of award. Reserve Challenge Certificates signed by the Judge and Show Secretary shall only be issued on request of exhibitors and shall be available to them as soon as convenient after award.</p> <p>21.2 No specialist club shall invite entries in breeds outside its jurisdiction for its Championship Breed Classes.</p> <p>21.3 Every Challenge Certificate and every Reserve Challenge Certificate issued by the Kennel Union shall bear the following words, namely: "I am clearly of the opinion that this exhibit is of such outstanding merit as to be worthy of qualifying for the title of Champion."</p> <p>21.4 Challenge Certificates, where appropriate, shall bear a printed endorsement reading "2 points".</p> <p>21.5 Challenge Certificates, where appropriate, shall bear a printed endorsement reading "1 point".</p> <p>21.6 Whenever ten (10) or more dogs of one sex in a breed are exhibited, not necessarily in the same class, and all such dogs are eligible to compete for the Challenge Certificate and a Challenge Certificate is awarded, it shall be a "2 point" Certificate; in all other cases a "1 point" Certificate shall be awarded to the winner of the Challenge Certificate.</p> <p><u>21.7 At a Specialist Rottweiler Champion Shows, whenever 20 or more dogs of one sex are exhibited, not necessarily in the same Class, and all such dogs are eligible to compete for the Reserve Challenge Certificate and a Reserve Challenge Certificate is awarded, it shall be a 1-point certificate.</u></p> <p>Motivation: Currently the RCC counts zero points towards becoming a Breed Champion. This proposal seeks to allow the RCC at Specialist Rottweiler Championship Shows to count 1 point towards becoming a Breed Champion. The entry numbers at Rottweiler Specialist Championship Shows, especially the Regional and National Sieger Shows, are often more than the numbers presented at All-breeds Championship Shows. These Shows have seen well over 100 dogs compete and, on occasion, close to 200 dogs.</p> <p>The reason for the above proposal is that we often find Reserve Challenge Certificate winners compete against 40 plus competitors eligible to win the RCC. These RCC winners are also of outstanding quality and deserve at least 1 point towards becoming a Champion.</p>
	6.1.3	<p>Amendment to Schedule 5E(21) - Rottweiler Specialist Championship Show to vary the order of judging at Rottweiler Specialist Shows to harmonise the order of judging for Rottweiler Specialist Shows with the Rottweiler Regional and National Shows. Proposal from the Rottweiler Breed Council.</p>

		<p>Insertions <u>underlined</u> Schedule 5E(21) Rottweiler Specialist Championship Show (extract)</p> <p>6. CLASSES</p> <p>6.1. Classes for this show shall be as laid down in Schedule 3 of the KUSA constitution. 6.2. All dogs shown in the Neutered Dog class may only receive a maximum grading of "OB". 6.3. All dogs shown in the Baby Puppy Dog/Bitch class may only receive a maximum grading of "vsp". <u>6.4 The order of judging starts at the youngest class, being the Baby Puppy Class, followed by older classes, with first 4 dogs being placed in each section of the Competition. Best Baby Puppy in Show, Best Puppy in Show, Best Junior in Show (if offered), Best in Show and Reserve Best in Show, in this order. Any Novelty Classes will be judged after Best in Show. All Rottweiler Specialist Shows shall be Grading and Critiquing Shows.</u></p> <p>Motivation: Currently, the KUSA Regulations require that all Championship Shows be judged with Baby Puppies at the end, after Best of Breed (BoB). The requirements for Specialist Rottweiler Shows have historically followed a different judging order to the normal KUSA Championship Shows. The judging order, in particular for the Regional Sieger Shows as well as the SA Sieger Shows, is clearly defined in terms of the judging order for Sieger titles and BiS. At these events (Specialist Shows, Regional and National Sieger Shows) there are no awards for BoB and Best Puppy in Breed. The only awards are for BiS and BPiS, etc.. The KUSA Regulations do not prescribe the order for judging the Best in Show line-ups. Currently, the KUSA Championship Show Regulations indicate a specific judging order for BoB, BoB Puppy, BoB Junior, etc. This judging order creates confusion for Specialist Rottweiler Shows, where the Regional Sieger Shows follow a different format. Additionally, at these Rottweiler Shows, Baby Puppies had always been judged at the start of the Show, because these Shows often take a full day and finish into early evening, or are sometimes held over two days, depending on the entries. It would be rather unfair to expect Baby Puppies to be shown at the end of the day, or early evening, which would seriously prejudice the fun Class.</p> <p>Additionally, the Baby Puppy, and all the other Puppy Classes, are not eligible to compete for BiS titles, since the Specialist Shows have grading. Only the highest grades qualify for CC. The Baby Puppy or Puppy Class grading does not qualify them to compete for the CC or BiS. In this way there cannot possibly be a confusion with beaten dogs at Specialist Shows as may be the case at All-breeds Shows. We traditionally complete the judging and handing out all the awards of the younger Classes earlier or on the 1st day of judging. The consistency of all Specialist Rottweiler Show judging order for finals will support better show management and happier exhibitors competing in the younger Classes.</p>
	6.1.4	<p>Amendment of Schedule 5E (18) Regulation 7.1 Housekeeping change to replace "Grand Victor (GV)" by "Sieger" Proposal from the Rottweiler Breed Council</p> <p><u>Insertions underlined</u> and deletions in [struck through square brackets].</p> <p>7. JUDGING 7.1 Judging shall be as at a Specialist Rottweiler Club Championship Show with the first four (4) dogs being placed in each section of the Competition. The ZA Youth Sieger Competitions shall be judged before the ZA Sieger Competitions all [GV] <u>Sieger</u> titles are to be awarded before Best Puppy In Show, Best In Show and Reserve Best In Show. All Rottweiler Sieger Shows shall be grading and critiquing shows.</p>
	6.1.5	<p>Amendments to Schedules 5E(21), Schedule 5E(21) and Schedule 5E(25) to reflect an addition: "A judge having judged at one of these two events is deemed to have met the Specialist Judge requirements." Housekeeping changes to clarify the requirements for Specialist Judges Proposal from the Rottweiler Breed Council</p> <p><u>Insertions underlined</u> and deletions in [struck through square brackets].</p>

Schedule 5E(21) Rottweiler Specialist Championship Shows – extract:

5. JUDGES

5.1. Only Specialist judges meeting the following requirements may Judge a show held under this Schedule.

5.1.1. Any Rottweiler Specialist Judge qualified under the auspices of the Rottweiler Breed Council of KUSA and in good standing with KUSA and the RBC.

5.1.2 Specialist Rottweiler judges from a list of countries which for the time being is:

5.1.2.1 Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Belgium, Norway, Sweden, Denmark, Switzerland who are also approved by KUSA in consultation with the RBC.

5.1.2.2. Alternatively, any ~~[Rottweiler specialist]~~ Judge from any country where he/she has judged at the IFR World Show or the ADRK World Family Show within the last 10 years, and who is also approved by KUSA in consultation with the RBC. A Judge having judged at one of these two events is deemed to have met the Specialist Judge requirements.

5.1.2.3 The host club may decide to invite two, three or even 4 Judges and split judging responsibilities accordingly. This may either be done by sex or by selected Classes. Should the club want multiple Judges to judge CC, RCC, Best Puppy, BiS, etc. this may be done when the number of judges is uneven. A simple majority will determine the award. In the case where either 2 or 4 Judges (an even number) are requested to judge any or some of these awards jointly, the Club will be required to appoint a third qualified judge to act as a referee. In the event that the even number of Judges (either 2 or 4) are unable to make a joint decision, the referee Judge will be required to make the final decision.

6. CLASSES

6.1. Classes for this show shall be as laid down in Schedule 3 of the KUSA Constitution.

6.2. All dogs shown in the Neutered Dog Class may only receive a maximum grading of “OB”.

6.3. All dogs shown in the Baby Puppy Dog/Bitch Class may only receive a maximum grading of “vsp”.

6.4 The order of judging starts at the youngest Class, being the Baby Puppy Class, followed by older Classes, with first 4 dogs being placed in each section of the Competition. Best Baby Puppy in Show, Best Puppy in Show, Best Junior in Show (if offered), Best in Show and Reserve Best in Show in this order. Any Novelty Classes will be judged after Best in Show. All Rottweiler Specialist Shows shall be Grading and Critiquing Shows.

Schedule 5E(18) Regulations for the South African Rottweiler Sieger Show – extract:

8. JUDGES

Any Judge or Judges selected to judge this competition shall be Kennel Union Panel Rottweiler Judges, who are also Rottweiler Breed Council Specialist Grading and Critiquing Judges or, in the case of foreign Judges, qualified to grade and critique as Specialist Judges, in their own countries of permanent residence. Specialist Rottweiler Judges from a list of countries which for the time being is: Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Belgium, Norway, Sweden, Denmark, Switzerland who are also approved by KUSA. Alternatively, any ~~[Rottweiler specialist]~~ Judge from any country where he/she has judged at the IFR World Show or the ADRK World Family Show within the last 10 years, and who is also approved by KUSA in consultation with the RBC. A judge having judged at one of these two events is deemed to have met the Specialist Judge requirements.

Schedule 5E(25) Regulations for the Rottweiler Regional Sieger Show - extract:

8. JUDGES

Any Judge or Judges selected to judge this competition shall be Kennel Union Panel Rottweiler Judges, who are also Rottweiler Breed Council Specialist Grading and Critiquing Judges or, in the case of foreign Judges, qualified to grade and critique as Specialist Judges, in their own countries of permanent residence. Specialist Rottweiler judges from a list of countries which for the time being is: Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Belgium, Norway, Sweden, Denmark, Switzerland who are also approved by KUSA.

		<p>Alternatively, any [Rottweiler specialist] Judge from any country where he/she has judged at the IFR World Show or the ADRK World Family Show within the last 10 years, and who is also approved by KUSA in consultation with the RBC. <u>A Judge having judged at one of these two events is deemed to have met the Specialist Judge requirements.</u></p> <p>Motivation: The requirements for Specialist Rottweiler Judges from other countries and for the Judges having judged the IFR World Show or ADRK World Family show within the last 10 years are not clear. In particular, the requirement around the Specialist status may be interpreted as vague, or creates some uncertainty with the KUSA Office. The RBC wants to ensure that the Schedule and interpretation is clear.</p> <p>It was the original intention of the RBC to indicate that, if a Judge is not part of the Judges in the countries that are indicated per the Specialist Schedules, and in countries where potentially the RBC is not aware of Specialist modules or training programmes, that any Judge that have met the requirements to judge the IFR or ADRK World Show, is internationally acclaimed to be some of the best, and automatically meets the specialist requirements.</p>
	6.2	Federation of Boxer Clubs of SA Breed Council (FBCSA BC)
	6.2.1	Chairman's Report – (attached)
	6.3	GSD Liaison Council
	6.3.1	Chairman's Report – (attached)
7		GENERAL
8		MATTERS BROUGHT FORWARD BY THE CHAIRMAN
	8.1	German Shepherd Dog Federation of South Africa (GSDFSA) vs Department of Agriculture, Land Reform & Rural Development (DALRRD) (formerly Department of Agriculture, Forestry & Fisheries – DAFF) and Others
9		DATES OF FUTURE MEETINGS – TO BE CONFIRMED June Meeting – 26 & 27 June 2021 December Meeting – 4 & 5 December 2021 or 11 & 12 December 2021
10		CLOSING