

17.05.22 - Distributed

KENNEL UNION OF SOUTHERN AFRICA

AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE FEDERAL COUNCIL TO BE HELD ON THURSDAY, FRIDAY & SATURDAY,
16-18 JUNE 2022, ON MS TEAMS

ITEM	Sub Item	Sub Item	AGENDA
1			ATTENDANCE, APOLOGIES & ANNOUNCEMENTS
2			MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF 3-4 DECEMBER 2021
		2.1	Minutes to be adopted
		2.2	Matters Arising from the Minutes not covered by the Agenda
3			ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS
	3.1		Article 12.5 - Declaration of Interests The Article reads: "Any member nominated to sit on the Federal Council, Executive Committee of the Kennel Union as well as the Executive Members (Chairman, Vice-Chairman, Secretary, Treasurer) of any Provincial Council of the Kennel Union, shall declare within one (1) month of appointment to the Secretary in writing all their interests, of whatsoever nature, in dog related activities. Where the governing body of the Kennel Union, or such person or persons to whom the authority is delegated, determine that any interests are in conflict with those of the Kennel Union, such nominated members' appointment shall ipso facto be terminated.
		3.1.1	Fedco Members
		3.1.2	Exco Members
	3.2		KUSA Budget 2022/2023
	3.3		K9 Administration Upgrade Project
	3.4		Appointment of Interim Acting KUSA Secretary
		3.4.1	Article 2 – Interpretation of Terms & Article 43.3 & 43.4 Interpretation and Resolution (Fedco 06-2020 Item 4.1.3) (Fedco round robin – 21.02.2022 – 25.02.2022) Proposed that Mrs Pascale Midgley be appointed in the stead of Secretary, until a firm appointment of a KUSA Secretary could be made. Background: Extract from the Minutes of a Special Meeting of the Federal Council held on Monday, 26 October 2020, suspended and continued by unanimous agreement of the Federal Council on Monday, 2 November 2020, via Skype:
		3.1	Article 2 – Interpretation of Terms & Article 43.3 & 43.4 Interpretation and Resolution (Fedco 06-2020 Item 4.1.3) 2. "Secretary" means the Secretary of the Kennel Union of Southern Africa or a person appointed to act in his stead. 43.3 The decision of the Federal Council upon all questions of resolution of matters not provided for in this Constitution and its Schedules, Rules, Regulations and By-Laws shall become effective and binding upon the date of publication of the Minutes of the meeting at which the decision was taken. Failing the enactment of such resolution within a period of eighteen (18) months from the date of publication of said Minutes, it shall be null and void. 43.4 The Federal Council shall be the only authority to institute such emergency measures and regulations as required to resolve any crisis or dilemma resulting from a force majeure event or other

			<p><u>calamity affecting the business and operations of the Kennel Union for as long as such adverse conditions endure. Decisions taken by the Federal Council in dealing with the effects of catastrophic events shall be final and binding.</u></p> <p>Approved, unanimously.</p> <p>Motivation: As required by the above Articles and given the retirement of the KUSA Secretary on 31 July 2020, Fedco was required to appoint a person to act in the stead of the Secretary for an interim period. It was decided to appoint Kyle Farmer to act in the stead of Secretary for an interim period. Proposed CG, Seconded RJ Approved, unanimously</p>
			<p>Motivation As required by the above Articles and, given the resignation of Mr Kyle Farmer appointed to act in the stead of the Secretary for an interim period, Fedco is required to once again appoint a person to act in the stead of the Secretary following Mr Farmer's departure from KUSA on 25 February 2022.</p> <p>For ratification.</p>
		3.4.2	<p>KUSA Bank Resolution – Change of Signatories</p> <p>With reference to Article 34 of the Kennel Union Constitution, the Federal Council, acting in terms of Article 6.1, unanimously agrees that Mr Kyle Farmer be removed from the list of joint authorised representatives and list of mandated signatories in respect of all KUSA Bank Accounts. Mr Farmer left the employment of the Kennel Union on 28.02.2022.</p> <p>Further, it is proposed that the Finance & HR Administrator, Mr Byron Delily (ID no. 9009065206080), be added to the list of joint authorised representatives and list of mandated signatories and be appointed as an online banking administrator.</p> <p>If agreed, the following persons would be authorised to sign on behalf of the Kennel Union: Mr RB Jenkins (Chairman of the Executive Committee) OR Mrs LC Kasselmann (Member of the Federal Council)</p> <p>AND the General Manager, Mrs PLE Midgley, OR the Finance & HR Administrator, Mr B Delily</p> <p>making a total of <u>two</u> signatories.</p> <p>The Banking Resolution would be required to be signed, digitally, by all Members of the Federal Council.</p>
	3.5		KUSA Office – Lease & Relocation
		3.5.1	<p>KUSA Office – Lease Agreement (Interim) (Fedco 06.2021 item 8.7, Fedco 12-201 Item 3.10.1)</p> <p>Review of Lease Agreement with AfricaWorks Group.</p>
		3.5.2	<p>KUSA Office – Relocation Strategy Plan (Fedco 08.2021 Item 3.3, Fedco 12-2021 Item 3.10.3)</p> <p>Report-back.</p>

	3.6		Protection of Personal Information Act (POPIA), No. 4 of 2013 Progress report.
		3.6.1	Appointment of POPIA Deputy Information Officer Following the resignation of Mr Kyle Farmer, the previously appointed POPIA Deputy Information Officer, the Federal Council must appoint a new Deputy Information Officer at this meeting.
		3.6.2	Provincial Councils - Appointment of POPIA Information Officer and Deputy Information Officer Update from the various Provincial Councils to be provided.
	3.7		Subscriptions & Fees – Schedule 7
	3.8		Schedule of Documents and Returns to be sent to the Secretary – Schedule 8 (Refer to Item 9.5 below)
4			MATTERS RELATING TO AFFILIATED CLUBS
	4.1		Loss of Affiliation – Article 9 (Fedco 12-2017, Fedco 06-2019, Fedco 6-2020, Fedco12-2020, Fedco 06-2021, Fedco 12-2021) <i>Note: The following ruling was made at Fedco 06-2017:</i> <i>“Effective 01.01.2018, no licences will be issued for either Championship, or Non-Championship, Shows, unless Clubs are up to date with all returns required in terms of the KUSA Constitution.”</i> <i>The following ruling was made at Fedco 12-2017:</i> <i>“Clubs that fail to comply with the provisions of Articles 9.1.3 and 9.1.4 for a period of eighteen months from the Fedco meeting where the breach was reported, will be recommended for disaffiliation.”</i> <i>(Note from Fedco 06-2020:</i> <i>During the ensuing discussion, it was noted that, as a result of the above-mentioned Fedco rulings made in 2017, there had been a marked improvement in the timely submission of AGM documentation by Clubs. However, there were still a few “repeat offenders” and it was agreed, unanimously, that the overdue Clubs in red should be sent a notice advising that, should the outstanding documentation not be received within three months from the date of the notice, the Clubs in question would be recommended for disaffiliation at Fedco 12-2020.)</i> <i>It was unanimously confirmed that the KUSA Office should continue with the policy as agreed at Fedco 06-2020 and recommend Clubs for disaffiliation at future Fedco meetings should they fail to meet the deadlines for submission of documentation as required per the final notices.</i>
		4.1.1	Article 9.1.3 “Failure to submit minutes of Annual and/or Special General Meetings together with audited Financial Statements and balance sheets, where applicable, in terms of Article 8.6.2.” Information will be tabled prior to the meeting.
		4.1.2	Article 9.1.4 “9.1.4 Failure to adhere to Kennel Union Constitutional requirements in respect of: 9.1.4.1 Any event to be held, being held, or which was held under a Kennel Union licence 9.1.4.2 Schedule of Documents and Returns to be sent to the Secretary of KUSA, Schedule 8.” Information will be tabled prior to the meeting.
	4.2		Club Constitutions

		<p>4.2.1 New Club Constitutions and Amendments to Existing Constitutions (Fedco 12-2021)</p> <p><i>For various reasons, it was recommended that KUSA no longer made “Specimen Club Constitutions” available on its website which, in the past, had enabled new Clubs, or Clubs that wished to upgrade their Constitution to the most recent versions, to draft their own proposed Constitutions. Over time, it has become evident that there were simply too many categories of Clubs, and variations within those categories, to expect Clubs to draft their Constitutions unassisted. Certain clauses in the Constitutions were mandatory under a Fedco directive and it took an inordinate amount of time for the KUSA Office to check proposed Club Constitutions submitted by Clubs for possible omissions, or insertions, which did not accord with the principles of sound governance of affiliated Clubs, or did not include the mandatory clauses expected by KUSA to be enshrined in Club Constitutions.</i></p> <p><i>With regard to amendments to Club Constitutions, it was further recommended that KUSA adopted a system of “replacement Constitutions”, rather than permitting amendments to be inserted into existing Constitutions. The latter practice invariably caused contradictions within the Constitutions, or resulted in ill-fitting contrivances being tagged onto Constitutions. For instance, it was extremely difficult to graft certain amendments, such as the now-mandatory POPIA amendments, onto the previous generation of KUSA Club Constitutions.</i></p> <p><i>It was suggested that, instead of leaving Clubs to cobble together their own Constitutions, new Clubs seeking affiliation to KUSA, or existing Clubs seeking amendments to their Constitutions, should be requested to complete a simple Information Sheet, stating their preferences in relation to certain “variables”, which would enable KUSA to provide new or existing Clubs with pre-drafted Constitutions, meeting the requirements of Fedco and Exco, for consideration by the members. Such proposed bespoke Constitution would be sent to requesting Clubs as soon as possible after receipt of the completed Information Sheet.</i></p> <p><i>Existing Clubs seeking amendments to their Constitutions would, of course, be expected to additionally draft the proposed amendments for consideration and approved amendments would obviously be accommodated in the redrafted Constitution. Once a Club has upgraded to a new-generation Constitution, it is foreseen that there would be little need for further amendments, unless impelled by new legislation. Currently, amendments were invariably requested by Clubs operating under previous generation Constitutions.</i></p> <p><i>With the realisation of the aim of every affiliated Club eventually upgrading to a new-generation Constitution, and KUSA becoming the custodian of MS Word and PDF versions of every Club Constitution, the process of introducing mandatory amendments to Club Constitutions, for instance those necessitated by changes in national laws, would be greatly facilitated.</i></p> <p><i>Discussion ensued, with most contributors declaring themselves in favour of KUSA dealing with future new Club Constitutions, or those requisite of amendment, under the two-pronged proposal outlined above, i.e. that, one, in order to prevent text contamination and drafting errors, existing Club Constitutions be replaced, rather than amendments being grafted onto them and, two, that Specimen Club Constitutions on the KUSA website be replaced by a simple Information Sheet for completion by Clubs seeking new Constitutions.</i></p> <p><i>On a proposal from GR, seconded by NdP, the binary procedure contemplated above was accepted, unanimously for introduction by the KUSA Office.</i></p> <p>Report-back.</p>
--	--	--

5		MATTERS RELATING TO THE FÉDÉRATION CYNOLOGIQUE INTERNATIONALE (FCI)
	5.1	<p>Rhodesian Ridgeback (Fedco 12-19 item 9.18; Fedco 06-2020 Item 8.9; Fedco 12-2020 Item 3.11.1, Fedco 06-2021 Item 3.8.1, Fedco 12-2021 Item 3.8.1) <i>Report on developments as recorded on the Fedco 12-2020 minutes:</i> <i>JH informed the meeting that;</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Rhodesian Ridgeback Breed Standard on the KUSA website had since been adorned with the superb illustration commissioned from Ms Joy McFarlane, and that • Ms McFarlane had requested time to revisit the PowerPoint presentation she had prepared a number of years ago, prior to its submission to the FCI. <p>On 17 September 2020, PM followed up with the FCI on the status of the Rhodesian Ridgeback Breed Standard after its scheduled submission to the FCI's Breed Standards Commission. A copy of the Breed Standard, featuring the new illustration, was attached to PM's reminder to the FCI.</p> <p>The Rhodesian Ridgeback Breed Standard, inclusive of the amendments approved by KUSA, was due to be tabled at the meeting of the Breed Standards Commission scheduled for 20 May 2020 in Milan. Since PM's reminder had met with no response from the FCI, one might safely assume that the meeting in Milan did not take place, given the COVID-19 lockdown which had been in force in Italy at the time.</p> <p>PM undertook to follow up with the FCI and to report any further developments to Fedco.</p> <p>PM reported at Fedco 12-2021:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Breed Standard: The KUSA Office has been notified by the FCI Breed Standards Commission that it was mandatory that all FCI Breed Standards record "Aggressive or overly-shy dogs" as a disqualification. Originally, KUSA had proposed that "Overly-shy dogs" be listed under FAULTS, with 'Aggressive behaviour' listed under DISQUALIFYING FAULTS. The Breed Standards Commission further advised that, once this has been rectified to meet the FCI's Breed Standards protocol, the Rhodesian Ridgeback Standard would be tabled at the next meeting of the General Committee for approval. <p>This mandatory requirement has been actioned and the FCI Breed Standard for the Rhodesian Ridgeback returned to the FCI Breed Standards Commission for presentation to the FCI General Committee.</p> <p>Report on subsequent developments, if any.</p>
	5.2	<p>FCI Non-Mandatory Commissions (Fedco 12-2020 item 3.11.2, Fedco 12-2021 Item 3.8.2) <i>As agreed at Fedco 12-2015, representatives should either be Fedco Members, Conveners of National Sub-Committees, or persons deemed suitable by Fedco. Appointees needed to have a thorough knowledge of the dogsport/subject in question.</i></p> <p><i>The following persons were appointed, or re-appointed, to serve as KUSA's representatives on the FCI's Non-Mandatory Commissions:</i></p> <p><i>Agility – Mr Rob Hall</i> <i>Breeding – Clr Ron Juckes</i> <i>Canicross – Mr Kyle Farmer</i> <i>Dog Dancing – Ms Kathy Clayton</i> <i>Flyball – Ms Liesl Twyman</i> <i>Rally Obedience – Mr Tinus van der Vyver</i> <i>Rescue Dogs – Mrs Robyn Harflett</i> <i>Shows – Clr Jenny Hubbard-</i> <i>Show Judges – Clr Jenny Hubbard and Mrs Tania Edwards, assisted by the KUSA Office</i></p>

		<p><i>Utility Dogs – Mr John Rautenbach</i> <i>Youth – Ms Andria Haskins</i> <i>Education and Public Relations – Clr Lori Thornhill</i> <i>Mondioring – Mr Keith Duranty</i></p> <p>On a proposal from GR, seconded by CI, it was agreed, unanimously to endorse the re-appointment of representatives above and to support the appointment of the new representatives for Show Judges.</p> <p>Review of Canicross FCI/KUSA Representative – replacement required.</p>
	5.3	<p>FCI - Registration of Kennel Names (Fedco 12-2021)</p> <p><i>PM informed Fedco that, historically, KUSA had dealt with Kennel Names (Affixes) on a national basis, which differed appreciably from the practices of the FCI in its management of Kennel Names on an international level. She highlighted the principal differences which would be difficult to reconcile, unless there was a Fedco-directed resolve that KUSA should take the necessary steps to bring its regulations in alignment with those of the FCI over a period of time.</i></p> <p><i>The Chairman screen-shared the KUSA Regulations pertaining to the registration and renewal of Affixes and the manner in which their application affected the registered name of a dog when used as a Prefix, or Suffix. In the document, she identified the areas where the KUSA Regulations were at serious odds with those of the FCI.</i></p> <p><i>GR said he had done an analysis of the relevant section of the FCI's Standing Orders dealing with Kennel Names and had found the wording of some of the provisions rather nebulous. But, as has also been pointed out by the Chairman, certain provisions were in clear conflict with KUSA's entrenched practices, which would be impossible to abrogate overnight. He suggested that, should Fedco decide to move towards harmonisation, that a phased approach over a realistic time period should be considered and advised some of his ideas on how the integration of the two systems might ultimately be achieved.</i></p> <p><i>The Chairman said that, from her reading of the FCI's Standing Orders, she believed that NCOs had some discretionary powers in dealing with Kennel Names on a national level and thought it prudent that, before taking any firm decisions in this regard, KUSA should consult with the A2O Section to establish the possibility and scope of the compromises which might be on offer.</i></p> <p><i>There was general support for the Chairman's suggested engagement with the A2O Section, which would hopefully yield some flexibilities to make FCI compliance with regard to Affixes somewhat easier to achieve.</i></p> <p>Report-back.</p>
	5.4	<p>FCI General Assembly Agenda</p> <p>For discussion and guidance.</p>
6		FEDCO MATTERS
	6.1	<p>Fedco Portfolios (Fedco 12.2021 Item 3.13)</p> <p><i>Fedco Members' Portfolios were updated at Fedco 12-2021, as follows:</i></p> <p>Clr JM Hubbard <i>Fédération Cynologique Internationale (FCI), Budgets, Finance, Breed Health, Mondioring, Rescue Dogs, Extraordinary Registrations, Internationale Gebrauchshunde Prüfungsordnung (IGP) and Tracking Trials</i></p> <p>Clr CM Immelman <i>Breed Shows, Handling, Registrations, Exports, Information Technology, Digital</i></p>

			<p>Communications, KUSA Web & Social Media. Clr RB Jenkins Finance, Breed Health & Scent Work Clr RD Jukes DNA, Genetic Health Issues, Dog Dancing, Working Trials, Trick Dog Test & Property Acquisition Clr DC Sparg Agility, Dog Jumping, Field Trials, Obedience Clr BG Robinson Fédération Cynologique Internationale (FCI), Finance, Budgets, KUSA Constitution & Schedules, Club Constitutions & By-laws, Provincial Council Constitutions & By-laws, KUSA Membership, Media/Press Clr L Thornhill Information Technology, DNA, Genetic Health Issues, Canine Good Citizen (CGC), Canicross, Rally Dog, Digital Communication, KUSA Web & Social Media Clr M Vorster Flyball, Carting, Property Acquisition, Finance</p> <p>Discussion ensued and the Fedco Members' Portfolios were updated as reflected above.</p> <p>The Chairman said she was aware that Clr NJ du Preez had not been assigned any Fedco Portfolios. The reason was that his responsibilities as Legal Adviser needed to be covered in a set of guidelines, the drafting of which was overdue. The guidelines would conceivably cover Clr Du Preez's responsibilities in respect of inter alia Disciplinaries, Contracts, Property Acquisition, etc. She appealed to Clr Du Preez to provide her with some "bullet points" on what he deemed appropriate to be included under his responsibilities as Legal Adviser, which would greatly assist her in the drafting of the guidelines. Clr Du Preez agreed to provide the Chairman with a list of his duties as Legal Adviser. Noted and agreed.</p> <p>Progress report required.</p>
7			MATTERS RELATING TO THE ARTICLES
	7.1		Any items Relating to Disciplinary Matters – Schedule 1
		7.1.1	Case 2021-03 Sauer vs Schmidt – withdrawn
		7.1.2	<p>Case 2021-04 KUSA & Theunissen vs Jooste The following complaint was finalised After careful consideration of all the submitted evidence, documents and affidavits on 21 December 2021, the DSC unanimously reached the following decisions on a balance of probabilities:</p> <p>Careful analysis of the evidence, especially the contents of the representations submitted in her defence by the Respondent, indicated that she did not act in wilful breach of the KUSA Rules and Regulations and found that the complaints were not sufficiently substantiated and consequently found the Respondent Not Guilty.</p> <p>The awards made to the said three dogs at the Swartland and West Coast Kennel Clubs' Championship Shows of 18 April 2021, remain valid and intact.</p> <p>The DSC, however, noted that the actions of the Respondent towards the involved KUSA staff member were disturbing and cause for alarm and duly instructed the Acting KUSA Secretary to formally caution the Respondent to in future act in strict observance of the KUSA Code of Ethics for Members (Schedule 9) Regulation 1.2 and Regulation 2.1 and of the KUSA Constitution, when dealing with KUSA members of staff.</p> <p>The DSC further instructed that the KUSA registration database be amended to</p>

			reflect the correct date of transfer of ownership in accordance with the KUSA Office policy on the registration documents of the three dogs. The Registration Certificates previously issued, reflecting the incorrect dates of transfer of ownership, must be destroyed and proof thereof provided by the Respondent to the satisfaction of the Acting KUSA Secretary. After receipt of satisfactory proof of destruction, the KUSA office is instructed to re-issue the Registration Certificates, reflecting the correct dates of transfer of ownership of all three of the affected dogs.
		7.1.3	<p>Case 2021-05 KUSA vs Gresty The following complaint was finalised. After careful consideration of all the submitted evidence, documents and affidavits, the DSC unanimously reached the following decision on a balance of probabilities:</p> <p>The DSC found that the Respondent had made an admission to the complaint levelled against him in his response in explanation of his conduct and defence. In the absence of corroborative evidence to the contrary, it is accepted that these admissions were made freely and voluntarily.</p> <p>The DSC found that the complaint was fully substantiated and found that the Respondent wilfully engaged in conduct of discreditable and/or improper and/or dishonourable and/or disgraceful conduct at a KUSA Show which conduct was prejudicial and injurious to the interests of canine affairs and to members, officials and persons concerned and connected therewith and subsequently found the Respondent guilty.</p> <p>The DSC imposed the following penalty on the Respondent: In terms of Schedule 1 Rule 11.1.2 read with Rule 11.4 of the KUSA Constitution, the Respondent is suspended and excluded from taking part in, or having any connection with, or attending or participating, or handling any dog at any KUSA Show or event, or from acting as an officer of any KUSA affiliated Club, for a period of 6 (Six) months starting from 1 March 2022 and ending on 31 August 2022. No Appeal was lodged.</p>
8			MATTERS RELATED TO THE REGISTRATION OF DOGS – SCHEDULE 2
	8.1		<p>Breed Health – BOAS (Fedco 12-2019 item 9.8; Fedco 06.2020 item 8.3)</p> <p>Report-back from the appointed Fedco Task Team.</p>
	8.2		<p>Breed Health – HD/ED (Fedco 06-2019 item 8.21.1 & 8.21.2, Fedco 12-2021 Item 4.3.3)</p> <p>Report-back from the appointed Fedco Task Team.</p>
	8.3		<p>FCI Hip Dysplasia Seminar, Copenhagen, 24 - 25.05.2022 (Fedco 12.2021 Item 4.3.5)</p> <p>Feed-back.</p>
9			MATTERS RELATING TO SHOWS – SCHEDULE 3 ET AL
	9.1		<p>Breed Standards Committee List of Breed Standards Amended/Revised under the KUSA Protocol to be tabled.</p>
		9.1.1	<p>Dobermann Breed Standard Moratorium ended on 31 December 2020</p> <p>Background <i>Extract from Fedco 12-2016</i> 4.4.1.2.5 Dobermann Revised Breed Standard attached to agenda. Further information was required to support objections to the amendments. To be carried forward to next agenda.</p> <p><i>Extract from Fedco 06-2017</i></p>

		<p>4.4.1.1.13 Dobermann (Fedco 12-2016 item 4.4.1.2.5) <i>Deferred from Fedco 12-2016.</i> <i>Further information was required to support objections to the amendments.</i> A discussion on the acceptance, by KUSA, of the new Dobermann Breed Standard ensued and CG requested that a specimen new Breed Standard, featuring the proposed citation and asterisked directive (see 4.4.1.1.1 above) be prepared and forwarded to him for distribution to, and consideration by, the Dobermann fraternity. Deferred</p> <p>Extract from Fedco 12-2017</p> <p>4.4.2.4.2. Dobermann (Fedco 06-2017 item 4.4.1.1.13) <i>Breed Standard attached to agenda. Deferred pending approval of footnote as per 4.4.2.4.1 above.</i> After a lengthy discussion it was resolved, with four objections, that a moratorium be placed on the acceptance of the current FCI Breed Standard for a period of three (3) years. It is recommended that, during this period, the Dobermann fraternity, or the envisaged to-be-established Dobermann Liaison Council, should enter into negotiations with the FCI's Standards Commission on the wording of the tail clause in the current FCI Breed Standard.</p> <p>Proposed RD, seconded JH</p> <p>Proposal In the absence of feedback to the contrary from the Dobermann fraternity, the 2015 version of the Dobermann Breed Standard is recommended to Fedco for adoption.</p> <p>Motivation The moratorium granted at Fedco 12-2017 expired in December 2020 and, in the COVID-19 haze, the KUSA Office unfortunately neglected to bring the matter back to Fedco subsequent to the expiry date.</p>
	9.2	<p>Schedule 3 – Regulation 42 - Champion Dogs – Proposed new Regulation 42.1.1.1 (Fedco 06.2020 item 4.5.2, Fedco 12-2020 item 4.5.1, Fedco 06.2021 Item 4.5.1, Fedco 12-2021 Item 4.5.1)</p> <p><i>Carried forward from Fedco 12-2020.</i></p> <p><i>In the absence of a proposal, the Chairman permitted this issue to be further debated. As deliberations progressed, it became evident that a viable solution to the problem of the “away-CC” would remain elusive if continued to be based on “out-of-Province” or “out-of-Centre” criteria.</i></p> <p><i>NdP expressed the opinion that, in the interest of fairness to all Provinces, a solution based on travelling distance should be investigated. There was general acceptance that this opinion might present a possible solution and the Chairman enquired which Federal Councillors would be prepared to pursue this option and revert to Fedco 12-2021 with a proposal.</i></p> <p><i>LT declared herself willing to be involved, but would require the assistance of NdP in drafting the proposal. NdP pledged his assistance, but also requested the collaboration of GR in the preparation of the proposal.</i></p> <p><i>PM expressed the view that, since an “out-of-Province” or “out-of-Centre” solution had now effectively been shelved by Fedco, there should be no point in retaining the “baggage”</i></p>

		<p><i>which preceded this latest development. Hopefully the process could be started afresh at Fedco 12-2021 with a distance-based proposal, which was no longer tethered to the discarded prior proposals.</i></p> <p>Noted. Fedco 12-2021 <i>NdP and LT apologised for not having had an opportunity to engage with this issue and requested a deferment.</i></p> <p><i>There were no objections and the matter was deferred to Fedco 06-2022.</i></p> <p>Proposal required.</p>
	9.3	<p>Schedule 3 Regulation 1.2.2 - Extension of moratorium regarding numbers required for Specialist & Group Clubs to retain Championship Breed Show Status. (Fedco 06.2017, Fedco round robin – 03.03.2022 - 07.03.2022)</p> <p>Background: <i>Extract from the Minutes of Fedco 06-2017:</i> 4.5.8 Minimum number of dogs to be present and exhibited in order to retain Championship Show-holding Status – Schedule 3 Regulation 1.2.2</p> <p><i>At Fedco 06-2014, a moratorium was put in place, effective from 1.10.2014 to 31.12.2017, during which there is no requirement for a minimum number of dogs to be present and exhibited in order for a Specialist Club or Group Club to retain Championship show-holding status. This system was to be monitored before deciding whether the Regulations should be amended, or voided.</i></p> <p>Note:</p> <p><i>During the moratorium, Clubs are required to pay the levy for the minimum numbers of dogs present and exhibited as per Schedule 3, even if fewer dogs than the prescribed minimum are present and exhibited. If the number of dogs present and exhibited were greater than the prescribed minimum number as per Schedule 3, the levy would be payable for all the dogs exhibited.</i></p> <p><i>Statistical information was provided by Office.</i></p> <p><i>It was agreed that the statistical information needed to be scrutinised for an ultimate decision on whether Schedule 3 should be amended. In the meantime, the moratorium were to be extended for a further four (4) years until 31.12.2021.</i></p> <p>Proposal: <i>It is proposed that the moratorium be extended to 31 December 2022 in order to allow for the item to be placed on the Fedco 06-2022 Agenda to enable discussion on a possible permanent change to the Regulations.</i></p> <p>Motivation: <i>The extension of the moratorium was due for discussion at Fedco 12–2021, but had inadvertently been left off the agenda.</i></p> <p>For ratification.</p>
	9.4	<p>Schedule 3 Regulation 1.2. Extension of moratorium regarding numbers required for Specialist & Group Clubs to retain Championship Breed Show Status. (Fedco 06.2017, Fedco round robin – 03.03.22-07.03.2022)</p> <p>Proposed amendments to Schedule 3 Regulation 1.2 - Championship Shows</p> <p>Insertions in <u>bold underlining</u> and deletions in [struck through square brackets]</p>

		<p>1.2.1 Championship shows may be held by:</p> <p>1.2.1.1 All-breeds Clubs</p> <p>1.2.1.2 Group Clubs</p> <p>1.2.1.3 Specialist Clubs</p> <p>1.2.1.4 Specialist Multi-breed Clubs</p> <p>1.2.1.4-5 Clubs that are neither All-[B]breeds Clubs, Group Clubs [nor], <u>Specialist Multi-breed Clubs, or</u> Specialist Clubs, but that had been accorded Championship status prior to 1 September 1975, provided that they are qualified to hold a Championship Show.</p> <p>1.2.2 To retain Championship status the following [minimum number of dogs] shall <u>apply [be present and exhibit]:</u></p> <p>1.2.2.1 All-b[B]reeds Clubs - no minimum number <u>of dogs entered or additional Show Entry Fees are</u> required.</p> <p>1.2.2.2 Group Clubs – <u>a minimum of forty (40) exhibits entered for the Show or, when fewer than 40 (forty) exhibits are entered, the Club shall be liable to pay KUSA Show Entry Fees equating to 40 (forty) exhibits.</u></p> <p>1.2.2.3 <u>Specialist Multi-Breed Clubs and</u> Specialist Clubs - <u>a minimum of twenty (20) exhibits entered for the Show or, when fewer than 20 (twenty) exhibits are entered, the Club shall be liable to pay KUSA Show Entry Fees equating to 20 (twenty) exhibits.</u></p> <p>1.2.2.4 Clubs contemplated in Regulation 1.2.1.5 above - <u>a minimum of twenty (20) exhibits entered for the Show or, when fewer than 20 (twenty) exhibits are entered, the Club shall be liable to pay KUSA Show Entry Fees equating to 20 (twenty) exhibits.</u></p> <p>1.2.3.1 It is not compulsory for a Club qualified to hold a Championship Show or to hold one (1) in each calendar year.</p> <p>1.2.3.2 Whenever a Club, <u>for whatever reason,</u> becomes unqualified to be issued with a Championship Show licence, [because of its inability to comply with the requirements of Regulation 1.2.2 above,] it may seek re-qualification for a Championship Show licence by holding a Re-qualifying Show.</p> <p>1.2.3.3 Whenever a Club elects not to hold a Championship Show for two (2) successive years, or longer, it shall be obliged to hold a Re-qualifying Show at which the minimum number of dogs required <u>to be entered and present - i.e. forty (40) at a Group Club and twenty (20) at a Specialist or Specialist Multi-breed Clubs – shall be the qualifying criteria for regaining</u> Championship status.</p> <p>1.2.3.4</p> <p>1.3</p> <p>1.4</p> <p>1.5 Nothing in [paragraphs 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4 contained] this Regulation shall be construed to mean that the minimum number of exhibits present and shown at a Qualifying or Re-qualifying Show shall be the sole criterion on which the Club will be assessed for compliance and on which the issuing of a subsequent Championship Show licence will be based..”</p> <p>Read with Item 4.5.2 above.</p> <p>Fedco decision to be taken.</p>
	9.5	<p>Schedule 3, 4 & 8. Re-instatement of pre-show penalties in respect of the submission of Licence application documentation. (Fedco round robin – 05.04.2022 – 06.04.2022)</p> <p>Recommendation put forward by the KUSA Office and approved by the Executive Committee.</p>

		<p>Background: At the Fedco 03-2020 Emergency Special Meeting, under item 3.2, i.e. Show Cancellations/Postponement, <i>“it was agreed that pre-show Penalties on all Shows would cease accruing with effect from Sunday, 14 March 2020 (date the State of Disaster was announced). Post-Show Penalties were not affected.”</i></p> <p>Motivation: The Show Administrator was struggling to keep abreast of last-minute urgent submissions which severely hampered workflow and required that everything else be set aside, causing delays and inconvenience to the Clubs that had submitted their documentation well in advance, which cannot be processed due to the last-minute submissions needing to be attended to first.</p> <p>Proposal: All pre-show and post-show timeframes in respect of Schedule 3, 4 and 8 need to be reinstated, with some allowances made for the remainder of the 2022 Show Calendar. It is proposed that the following timeframes come into effect from 1 May 2022:</p> <p>For the remainder of the 2022 Show Calendar, all Show licence application documentation for Championship and Non-Championship Shows must be submitted to the Shows Department (showadmin@kusa.co.za) no later than 3 months prior to the date of the event in order to avoid paying penalties for the late submission of show documentation.</p> <p>For Shows scheduled to be held after 01 January 2023, all application documentation must be submitted in accordance with Schedule 8 to avoid paying penalties for the late submission of show documentation. Thus, Championship Shows scheduled to be held in February 2023 must have submitted their application documentation by August 2022.</p> <p>For ratification.</p>
	9.6	Any Matters Relating to Schedule 3A
	9.7	Any Matters Relating to Schedule 4 Refer to 4.5.4 above.
	9.8	Any Matters Relating to Schedule 4A – Qualifying Shows
10		MATTERS RELATING TO DOGSPORT
	10.1	Schedule 05A – Regulations for Obedience Classes
	10.2	Schedule 05B(01) – Regulations for Working Trials (Classic)
	10.3	<p>Schedule 05B(04) – Regulations for Working Utility Dog (WUD)</p> <p>Housekeeping An error has crept into the Working Utility Dog (WUD) Schedule. Regulation 4.1.9 - Search and Identify Article - currently states, “The article must be placed no less than 30m forward from the base at point of entry.”</p> <p>A proposal to remove this clause was submitted to Fedco 12-2016, and approved (Regulation 5.5.2, bottom p. 30). The rationale was that handlers would enter the search area, send their dogs to each baseline corner (so as to ‘cover’ the area), walk up 30m and then tell their dog to search. This effectively halved the exercise.</p> <p>The phrases “The area must be clearly demarcated (but not necessarily by poles/flags)” and “The article may be placed anywhere within the boundary lines” need to be inserted. Schedule was corrected 28.01.2022.</p> <p>For ratification.</p>
	10.4	Schedule 05B(02) – Regulations for International Working Trials and International

			Tracking Trials (IGP)
	10.5		Schedule 05B(03)TT – Regulations for Tracking Trials
	10.6		Schedules 05C (01), (02), (03), (04), (05) & (06) – Regulations for Field Trials
		10.6.1	<p>Proposed changes to Schedules 05C (01), (02), (03), (04), (05) & (06) – Regulations for Field Trials</p> <p>FTLC submission:</p> <p>Background According to the Minutes of a meeting of the FTLC, held 12 April 2022, the Chairman of the FTLC explained that a precedent had been set with a number of Field Trial Clubs in the past to run trials out of the province in which they are domiciled. This was due to drought and other reasons, and also the fact that that Field Trial Clubs are dependent on water and birds to be able to run Field Trials successfully. As a result of an objection raised by a Club to Field Trial Clubs running trials out-of-province, this principle needed to be formalised and the required amendments made to the relevant Schedules listed above.</p> <p>Proposal It is proposed that the following paragraph be added to all the Schedules listed above under the Regulation for Licensing: <u>“In view of the need for Field Trials to be held on working farms, with natural game and sufficient open water (dams/rivers) in most instances, Field Trial Clubs may be permitted to Run their trials outside the jurisdiction of the Provincial Council through which the Club is affiliated, as per the dispensation granted by Fedco in June 2022”</u></p> <p>For ease of reference, the FTLC appeals for the inclusion of the date into the amendment as it is often difficult to trace the source of historical changes.</p> <p>The FTLC urges Fedco to favourably considered this grant of flexibility to Field Trial Clubs in the interest of the future of Field Trials.</p> <p>Note: The revised Schedules have been sent to the KUSA Office for uploading should the proposal be accepted for the effective date of 1 September 2022.</p>
		10.6.2	<p>Schedule 05C (01) - Regulations for Retriever Field Trials, in conjunction with Schedule 2 Regulations for the Classification, Registration and Transfer of the Registered Ownership of Dogs.</p> <p>NFTLC submission:</p> <p>Proposal SINGLE REGISTRATION DOG FOR PARTICIPATION IN FIELD TRIALS</p> <p>THE ISSUE Only Retrievers registered with KUSA as part of a litter may enter Field Trials.</p> <p>CURRENT RESULT</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Many ‘first timers’ are unaware of this requirement and attend training for the purpose of participating in Field Trials. They are then disappointed, and leave the sport, under the impression that they have ‘second-rate’ dogs. The handler never returns and is lost to the sport. <p>THE OBJECTIVE</p>

		<p>To permit 'Single Registration Dogs' to enter Retriever Field Trials, subject to similar conditions pertaining to other registered Gundog breeds, with certain added safeguards.</p> <p>THE HOW</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The FTLC would like to request that KUSA to amend Schedule 2 and Schedule 5C(1) to allow otherwise unregistered 'Retriever type' dogs to be registered as "Single Registration Dogs" with KUSA, and to allow them to enter Retriever Field Trials, provided: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.1 That the dogs may not be placed, nor receive awards, Guns' Choice, SAHR points, or Champion status; 1.2 Entries are at the discretion of the Retriever Club hosting the Field Trial; 1.3 That the dogs only be permitted to enter the Puppy, Junior, Maiden and Novice Stakes; 1.4 That the application for registration as a 'Single Registration Dog' be accompanied by a certificate from a qualified KUSA registered Gundog breed Judge to the effect that the dog in question is in fact a 'Retriever type'; 1.5 Only the following 'Retriever type' dogs be allowed: Retriever (Chesapeake Bay); Retriever (Curly Coated); Retriever (Flat Coated); Retriever (Golden); Retriever (Labrador); 1.6 A handler/owner may only be allowed to submit registration for one 'Single Registration Dog' ever to ensure that new handlers are encouraged to purchase purebred Retrievers. <p>GENERAL</p> <p>Whilst the number of dogs affected is not known, this will at least prevent handlers who are at present precluded from entering as they do not have registered Retrievers, to do so, until such time as circumstances permit the purchase of registered purebred dogs.</p> <p>PROPOSAL</p> <p>The FTLC proposes that, should Fedco accept this objective in principle, the necessary amendments would be submitted to Fedco for approval at Fedco 12-2022, and that the Application to Register a Single Dog - <i>Dogsport Record</i> (Dogs of Any Ancestry) be used in conjunction with the Rules specified in Items 1.1 – 1.6 above.</p>
	10.7	Schedule 05D – Regulations for Dog Jumping Grades
	10.8	<p>Schedule 05G – Regulations for Dog Carting</p> <p>Amendments submitted by the Convener of the National Dog Carting Sub-Committee.</p> <p>Insertions in <u>bold underlining</u> and deletions in [struck through square brackets]</p> <p>SCHEDULE 5G REGULATIONS FOR DOG CARTING (Effective 01.10.2019)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4.4 A dog awarded <u>fifteen (15)</u> [twenty five (25)] Carting Qualifying Certificates will qualify as a Grand Champion Carting and shall be issued with a KUSA certificate to that effect. This is providing that no more than <u>four (4)</u> [three (3)] of such Carting Qualifying Certificates shall have been awarded by any one <u>(1)</u> Judge and not more than <u>eleven (11)</u> [twenty (20)] certificates [were gained] <u>are obtained</u> in [any] one province <u>and four (4)</u> [with the balance of five (5)] certificates <u>are obtained</u> [having been gained] in at least <u>one (1)</u> [two (2)] other province.

			Motivation This requested amendment is in line with the criteria applied in other branches of dogsport, e.g. Dog Jumping, Tracker Dog and Rally Dog. A survey was conducted in all four Provincial Councils with Dog Carting Sub-Committees and the majority favoured the proposed change.
		10.8.1	Proposed amendment to Schedule 3 Regulation 42.8.2 Insertions in <u>bold underlining</u> and deletions in [struck through square brackets] 42.8.2 the title of Grand Champion – Carting and a KUSA certificate to any dog which has been awarded [twenty-five (25)] <u>fifteen (15)</u> Carting Qualifying Certificates, provided that no more than [three (3)] <u>four (4)</u> of such Carting Qualifying Certificates shall have been awarded by any one (1) Judge and not more than [twenty (20)] <u>eleven (11)</u> of such certificates were gained in any one province, with the balance of [five (5)] <u>four (4)</u> certificates having been gained in at least [two (2)] <u>one (1)</u> other province[s]. Twelve (12) Carting Qualifying Certificates awarded to a dog prior to 1 January 2006 shall count towards this award.
	10.9		Schedule 05K – Regulations for Handler Classes
	10.10		Schedule 05L – Regulations for Agility
		10.10.1	Schedule 05L Appendix C
		10.10.2	Schedule 05L Appendix D
		10.10.3	Schedule 05L Appendix F
	10.11		Schedule 05M – Regulations for Flyball
	10.12		Aptitude Testing
	10.13		Schedule 05Q - Rescue Dog Trials (RDT)
	10.14		Schedule 05R - Dog Dancing Heelwork to Music (HTM) & Schedule 05S – Musical Freestyle (MFS) NAPC submission: Proposed changes & Motivation - Schedule 05R Regulations for HTM and Schedule 05S Regulations for MFS attached . Insertions in <u>bold underlining</u> and deletions in [struck through square brackets]
		10.14.1	Schedule 05R - Heelwork to Music (HTM) NAPC submission: Proposed changes to Schedule 05R attached . Insertions in <u>bold underlining</u> and deletions in [struck through square brackets]
		10.14.2	Schedule 05S - Musical Freestyle (MFS) NAPC submission: Proposed changes to Schedule 05R attached . Insertions in <u>bold underlining</u> and deletions in [struck through square brackets]
	10.15		Schedule 05T – Regulations for Rally Dog
		10.15.1	Proposed changes to Schedule 05T - Regulations for Rally Dog. Regulation 8.2 NRDSC submission Proposal: Insertions in <u>bold underlining</u> and deletions in [struck through square brackets] 8 RALLY JUDGES

			<p>8.2 Learner Rally Judges, who are not current Working Disciplines Judges, are required to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Attend a Rally Judges' Workshop - Pass a written exam on KUSA Schedule 3 and 5T - Receive a Judge's record card from KUSA <p>[In addition to the above, a Learner Rally Judge must shadow judge under 2 (two) different judges at 2 (two) separate shows.]</p> <p>The Learner Rally Judge will be required to shadow judge at 2 (two) [Non-Championship] Shows while <u>the</u> Qualified Rally Judge judges the shows. On completion and after the Qualified Rally Judge has checked and endorsed the Learner Rally Judges' marking, the Qualified Rally Judge will sign the Learner Judge's record card, which is submitted to the Rally Sub-Committee and then submitted to KUSA, that will, in turn, issue a Rally Judge's Licence. The now qualified Rally Judge may accept judging appointments for Non-Championship or Championship Shows.</p> <p>Motivation:</p> <p>The reason for the deletion of the first sentence below the listed items, is that it is repeated below, and the reason to request the deletion of the words 'Non-Championship' in the second sentence is that we have some provinces who have more Championship than Non-Championship Shows and that needs to qualify judges urgently. Having them wait for Non-Championship Shows for their learner Judges to shadow judge at, is delaying them in qualify as Judges.</p> <p>All provinces in the NRDSC have agreed to the above amendment (via a Whatsapp discussion held on 3 May 2022). We are hoping on a favourable outcome.</p> <p>For decision.</p>
	10.16		Canicross
	10.17		Schedule 05U – Regulations for Mondioring
		10.17.1	<p>NAPC submission:</p> <p>Proposed Schedule 05U attached.</p>
		10.17.2	<p>Schedule 05U – Appendix A – South African Begleithund (BH ZA). Requirements for Mondioring.</p> <p>NAPC submission:</p> <p>Proposed Schedule 05U - Appendix A attached.</p>
		10.17.3	<p>International Rules of Mondioring Competition (FCI)</p> <p>NAPC submission:</p> <p>Proposed International Rules of Mondioring Competition (FCI) attached.</p>
11			MATTERS RELATING TO SPECIAL EVENTS – VARIOUS SCHEDULES 5E
	11.1		Schedule 05E (04) – Regulations for Dog Jumping Championship of Southern Africa
	11.2		<p>Schedule 5E(15)(1.6) - Regulations for Eastern Districts Kennel Club SUPA 7s (Fedco round robin – 13.01.2022 – 14.01.2022)</p> <p>Proposal from Eastern Districts Kennel Club for dispensation to slightly alter the</p>

		<p>judging Regulations for the SUPA 7s to be held on 22 January 2022.</p> <p>Schedule 5E(15)(1.6) - Regulations for Eastern Districts Kennel Club SUPA 7s. Insertions in <u>bold underlining</u> and deletions in [struck through square brackets]</p> <p>6. Judging</p> <p>6.1 There is no steward in the ring.</p> <p>6.2.1 Initial judging of the Puppy Stakes will not be in Group format. The puppies will be divided into batches of no more than 7 per batch (in numerical order) and will enter the ring one batch at a time. The Judge will assess each batch but will not select any shortlists or winners at this stage.</p> <p>6.2.2 <u>On conclusion of the initial judging of the Puppy Stakes, all of the Puppy Stakes entrants will return to the ring and the Judge will select seven (7) exhibits to go forward to the final.</u></p> <p>6.2.3 Initial judging of the <u>Veteran</u> [Puppy] Stakes will not be in Group format. The <u>Veterans</u> [puppies] will be divided into batches of no more than 7 per batch (in numerical order) and will enter the ring one batch at a time. The Judge will assess each batch but will not select any shortlists or winners at this stage.</p> <p>6.2.4 <u>On conclusion of the initial judging of the Veteran Stakes, all of the Veteran Stakes entrants will return to the ring and the judge will select seven (7) exhibits to go forward to the final.</u></p> <p>6.2.5 Initial judging of the Adult Stakes will be in Group format, with the seven (7) entrants from each Group entering the ring one Group at a time. The Judge will assess the seven (7) exhibits <u>and</u> choose a <u>Group</u> winner. <u>The Seven (7) Group Winners will go forward to the final.</u> [secretly and record the winner's exhibit number. The dogs will leave the ring and the Group winner's numbers will not be announced at this stage.]</p> <p>The rest of the clause to be sequentially renumbered as follows:</p> <p>6.3 Final judging will proceed as follows:</p> <p>6.3.1 The seven (7) Puppy Stakes finalists will enter the ring for the final judging and a winner and runner-up will be announced.</p> <p>6.3.2 The seven (7) Veteran Stakes finalists will enter the ring for the final judging and a winner and runner-up will be announced.</p> <p>6.3.3 The seven (7) Adult Stakes finalists will enter the ring for the final judging and a winner and runner-up will be announced.</p> <p>Motivation: <i>Eastern Districts Kennel Club requests dispensation to slightly alter the judging regulations for the SUPA 7s to be held on the 22nd of January 2022. Due to time constraints imposed by the venue, and an additional KUSA Showdog of the Year event to be accommodated, we need to expedite judging. We believe a time-saving can be achieved by choosing and announcing the Adult Stake Group Winners during the initial judging stage. This will allow us complete the SUPA 7s and the KUSA Showdog of the Year event within the 6-hour event time limit imposed by the venue. The request for dispensation is only applicable to</i></p>
--	--	---

		<p><i>the January 2022 SUPA 7s and, at present, the intention is to revert to the current regulations for future years.</i></p> <p>For ratification.</p>
	11.3	<p>Schedule 5E(18) Regulations for the South African Rottweiler Sieger Show (Fedco round robin – 20.02.2022 – 24.02.2022)</p> <p>Proposal from the Rottweiler Breed Council, in accordance with their Special Meeting held on 27 January 2022, for dispensation to slightly vary the Regulations of the South African Rottweiler Sieger Show to be hosted by the Rottweiler Working & Breeding Association and held in Gauteng on 18-19 June 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SCHEDULE 5E (18) KENNEL UNION OF SOUTHERN AFRICA REGULATIONS FOR THE SOUTH AFRICAN ROTTWEILER SIEGER SHOW</p> <p>6. REQUIERMENTS FOR THE TITLES</p> <p>6.2 Entrants to the ZA Sieger Competition must have: either or Breed Suitability Test (BST) or Character Test (CT) awarded at a South African Rottweiler Specialist Show, AND been graded 'V1' awarded, only on the day(s) of the Show.</p> <p>The Praetor Rottweiler Club proposes an addition to the current requirement for the ZA Sieger and Siegerin titles. It is proposed that the Breed Assessment be added as an alternate requirement for the ZA Sieger and ZA Siegerin title. Whenever we introduce a new requirement, we should start off with a base requirement. This can evolve and in 3 - 5 years' time as we re-evaluate the situation and possibly increase the level/complexity. During the 3-5 years we should promote the Character Test and BA, we can see what the effect it has had and re-assess.</p> <p>Proposal to read:</p> <p>6.2 Entrants to the ZA Sieger Competition must have: either Breed Assessment (BA), or Breed Suitability Test (BST) or Character Test (CT) awarded at a South African Rottweiler Specialist Show, AND been graded 'V1' awarded only on the day/s of the Show</p> <p>Motivation: Let us have a look at our current situation and concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We only have 3 judges that can judge the CT, (Neil Perkins, Barron Africa and Tom Bezuidenhoudt) 2. The Clubs need to provide training for their members. Do all the Clubs have the knowledge and expertise? 3. The CT needs specialised training, owners need to travel to get to possible training venues. What about the lady staying in Bloemfontein, or Ermelo, or any remote location? What will it take for these people to be able to train their dog for the CT? 4. Do we really have the "buy in" from the exhibitors? 5. On the current RBC Council, it is only Neil Perkins, Marc Odendaal and Lida Heyden (Observer at 2021 meeting and learner judge) that have qualified a dog in IGP 1. Do the rest of us know what it really entails? 6. Are we aware of the fact that to train a Rottweiler to bite on the sleeve and take 2 stick blows, you need a dog that is balance in prey drive, defense and aggression? 7. We have worked so hard for 30 odd years to change the perception of people

		<p>regarding the Rottweiler, and now we want to make bite work the MINIMUM requirement for the top National Title</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. How many dogs have qualified in BA or BST in the last 5 or even 10 years? Very few and it continues to diminish. 9. It is only Italy and now the IFR that has this requirement for their Sieger title winners. No other country has it, not even the President of the IFR's Belgium Rottweiler Club. 10. In our opinion we put the cart in front of the horses, we were very ambitious, and we need to get people used to the idea that the adult titles require at least a BA, CT or BST. <p>The benefits of the BA as an alternate requirement.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The person that wants to pursue the CT qualification is welcome to do so, but the BA is an alternate requirement for awarding the title. 2. The following components makes the BA a more complete evaluation of the dog. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2.1 The dog is measured and physically assessed to be within the Breed Standard. (not required in CT) 2.2 The dog's Health is checked as the HD and ED are required (not required in CT) 2.3 The overall character of the dog is assessed throughout the whole procedure. 2.4 The dog is tested for "Gun sureness" (not required in CT) 2.5 The dog's ability to handle group pressure is evaluated, with the "crowd test". (not required in CT) 2.6 The Character of the dog is further evaluated during the Confrontation element. Where the dog's ability to switch between defense and attack and accepting a friendly person is also evaluated. 3. We have enough judges, helpers and the Clubs are all familiar with the procedure. <p>For ratification.</p>
	11.4	<p>Schedule 05E(21) - Regulations for Rottweiler Specialist Championship Show, Schedule 05E(18) - Regulations for the South African Rottweiler Sieger Show & Schedule 05E(25) - Regulations for the Rottweiler Regional Sieger Show. Approval of Judges by KUSA/RBC (Fedco round robin 03.05.2022 - 06.05.2022)</p> <p>RBC Submission</p> <p>Background: The Rottweiler Breed Council, while reviewing the Schedules listed above, realised that somehow Austria, Portugal and Spain had been omitted from the current list. These countries had historically always been there.</p> <p>Motivation: The Rottweiler Working & Breeding Association have invited a Judge from Spain to judge their Show in June 2022 and the air ticket has been paid for in the knowledge that Spain was originally on the list of approved countries. This Specialist Show is to be held in June 2022, prior to the Fedco 06-2022 Formal Meeting, hence the request for this round robin vote.</p> <p>Proposal: Insertions in <u>bold underlining</u> and deletions in [struck through square brackets]</p> <p>Schedule 05E(21) Regulations for Rottweiler Specialist Championship Show</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. JUDGES <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5.1. Only Specialist Judges meeting the following requirements may judge a

			<p>show held under this Schedule.</p> <p>5.1.1. Any Rottweiler specialist Judge qualified under the auspices of the Rottweiler Breed Council of KUSA and in good standing with KUSA and the RBC.</p> <p>5.1.2. Specialist Rottweiler Judges from a list of countries which for the time being is:</p> <p>5.1.2.1. Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Belgium, Norway, Finland, Sweden, Denmark, Switzerland, <u>Austria, Portugal and Spain that</u> [who] are also approved by KUSA.</p> <p>Schedule 05E(18) - Regulations for the South African Rottweiler Sieger Show</p> <p>6. JUDGES</p> <p>Any Judge or Judges selected to judge this competition shall be Kennel Union Panel Rottweiler Judges, who are also Rottweiler Breed Council Specialist Grading and Critiquing Judges or, in the case of foreign Judges, qualified to grade and critique as Specialist Judges, in their own countries of permanent residence. Specialist Rottweiler Judges from a list of countries which for the time being is Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Belgium, Norway, Finland, Sweden, Denmark, Switzerland, <u>Austria, Portugal and Spain that</u> [who] are also approved by KUSA. Alternatively, any judge from any country where he/she has judged at the IFR World show or the ADRK World Family show within the last 10 years, and who is also approved by KUSA in consultation with the RBC. A Judge having judged at one of these two events is deemed to have met the Specialist Judge requirements.</p> <p>Schedule 05E(25) - The Rottweiler Regional Sieger Show</p> <p>8. JUDGES</p> <p>Any Judge or Judges selected to judge this competition shall be Kennel Union Panel Rottweiler Judges, who are also Rottweiler Breed Council Specialist Grading and Critiquing Judges or, in the case of foreign Judges, qualified to grade and critique as Specialist Judges, in their own countries of permanent residence. Specialist Rottweiler judges from a list of countries which for the time being is: Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Belgium, Norway, Finland, Sweden, Denmark, Switzerland, <u>Austria, Portugal and Spain that</u> [who] are also approved by KUSA.</p> <p>Alternatively, any Judge from any country where he/she has judged at the IFR World show or the ADRK World Family Show within the last 10 years, and who is also approved by KUSA in consultation with the RBC. A Judge having judged at one of these two events is deemed to have met the Specialist Judge requirements.</p> <p>It is proposed that the afore-mentioned amendments come into immediate effect and be ratified at the Fedco 06-2022 meeting, should the round robin vote be successful.</p> <p>(Note from the Chairman:</p> <p><i>Prior to requesting this round robin, the Chairman checked on historical Fedco Minutes and found that, indeed, Portugal was to be added to the list in accordance with the Fedco 12-2014 minutes which subsequently had somehow dropped off the list. Schedule 05E(21) was approved at the Fedco 05-2011 meeting. She further advises Fedco that a proposal has been submitted by the RBC for consideration at the Fedco 06-2022 meeting. The proposal is to allow for a further process to accommodate Judges outside of these criteria, which will save Clubs the additional administration, when in fact the RBC is very happy with Specialist Judges from these 3 countries, namely, Austria, Portugal and Spain.)</i></p>
--	--	--	---

			For ratification
	11.5		Schedule 05E(22) - Regulations for Northern Areas Top Dog Competition NAPC Submission: Proposed changed to Schedule 05E(22). Insertions in bold underlining and deletions in [struck through square brackets] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Annexure to Schedule 05E(22) changes summary attached. Schedule 05E(22) Regulations for Northern Areas Top Dog Competition attached. Schedule 05E(22) - Regulations for Northern Areas Top Dog Competition.
	11.6		Schedule 05E(24) - Regulations for KUSA Showdog of the Year Competition Date and Venue for the Competition to be determined.
12			MATTERS PERTAINING TO COMPANION EVENT S – SCHEDULE 11
	12.1		Schedule 11 Appendix A - Regulations for Canine Good Citizen (CGC) (Fedco 06-2019 end of Item 5.1, Fedco 12-2020 Item 5.1.2, Fedco 06-21 Item 5.23.1, Fedco 12-2021 Item 5.23.1) <i>NS Informed Fedco that the Task Team was making good progress with the drafting of the Schedule and that that the Task Team should be able to put the completed product on the table by Fedco 06-2022. Apart from gratitude and encouragement, there had been no further input from Fedco.</i> Noted. Proposal awaited.
	12.2		Schedule 11 Appendix B - Regulations for Scent Work (Fedco 12-2020 item 5.20)
	12.3		Schedule 11 Appendix C - Regulations for Trick Dog Test (Fedco 06-2020 item 8.10, Fedco 12-2020 Item 5.19)
		12.3.1	Housekeeping amendments: The proposed changes are primarily of a housekeeping nature to clarify or correct terms and address a few issues that the KUSA office raised last year. Proposed changes to Schedule 11 Appendix C Insertions in bold underlining and deletions in [struck through square brackets] <p style="text-align: center;">SCHEDULE 11 [C] KENNEL UNION OF SOUTHERN AFRICA REGULATIONS FOR TRICK DOG TESTS</p> Motivation for changes to Schedule 11(C) for June 2022 meeting of Fedco: <p style="text-align: center;">1. ELIGIBILITY</p> 1.1 Dogs that are eligible to participate in the Trick Dog Tests include: Dogs with physical challenges (including amputees), deaf and/or blind dogs are eligible to participate provided that, in the opinion of the <u>Evaluator</u> [judge], they display no signs of physical discomfort or stress and can safely complete the selected tricks. MOTIVATION: Correction of term – Trick Dog has Evaluators, not Judges. 1.2 Dogs that have already achieved titles under another trick dog programme may apply [(please refer to Schedule 7 “Subscription & Fees” for prices)] to convert those titles to KUSA Trick Dog titles. Eligibility is as follows:

		<p>MOTIVATION: There is no charge for the transfer of qualifications. Such transfer will fall away after one year of the Schedule coming into effect.</p> <p>1.3 Champion status Any dog which has been evaluated as 'passed' in the Champion Level by two Evaluators, on one occasion, one of whom must be a Senior Evaluator, will be eligible to apply for the title of KUSA Trick Dog Champion.</p> <p>MOTIVATION: To provide clarification that only dogs taking part in the Champion class are eligible for the title of Champion.</p> <p>5. TRICK DOG CLASSES All dogs will be eligible to apply to KUSA for an original certificate and/or rosette subject to the payment of the prescribed fee [(please refer to Schedule 7 "Subscription & Fees" for prices)].</p> <p>MOTIVATION: The fees for Shows hosted by Clubs are not listed in Schedule 7. This was originally included using text from Canine Good Citizen, but is not relevant as the fees appear on the Trick Dog website and Facebook page.</p> <p>7. EVALUATORS 7.2 Any person who has the qualifications listed below may also apply to KUSA to become an Evaluator:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Judged multiple branches of dogsport (e.g. Agility, Obedience, Flyball, Dog Dancing, Working Trials); and • Has successfully handled a dog to at least Intermediate Level; and • Is in good standing with KUSA. <p>Such applications may only be made within a period of one calendar year following this test first coming into effect. This is to ensure that there is a sufficient pool of Evaluators [judges to be] available.</p> <p>MOTIVATION: Correction of term – Trick Dog has Evaluators, not Judges.</p> <p>7.3 Any other person wishing to become a Trick Dog E[e]valuator, should apply in writing to the [ir provincial council] Provincial Council in the area they reside. At a mutually agreeable time, two qualified Evaluators will arrange a training programme for the aspirant Evaluators. Training programmes may be offered face-to-face, or via an online platform. The training course shall culminate in a theoretical exam and evaluation of online videos developed for the purpose of the course. Evaluators will also be required to complete an examination on Schedule 3 if not already completed. <u>This examination will also include questions on Schedule 9 Appendix B.</u></p> <p>MOTIVATION: Clarification of terms as suggested by the KUSA Office.</p> <p>8. EVALUATIONS <u>Leads – tricks should be performed off-lead, unless the contrary is required by the trick. Leads must be used when tricks are performed in a public environment or in spaces where leashes are a requirement, e.g. park, beach, shopping centre.</u></p> <p>MOTIVATION: The aim is to see the dog working willingly and a lead detracts from that. There is also no need for dogs to be on lead when tricks are filmed at home and several submissions to date have shown dogs on lead in a safe, home environment. This is not in the spirit of having a good relationship with one's dog.</p>
--	--	---

11. TRICK DOG TESTS

Please refer to the document Trick Dog Guidelines for Handlers & Evaluators [Criteria for Tricks document (under development)] for clarification on the requirements for each trick.

MOTIVATION: Remove drafting text.

11.1 ELEMENTARY TRICK DOG

Category	Trick
Balance & Coordination	Balance beam (plank[/dog walk])
Foundation	Come
	Down
	Focus (hold eye contact)
	Muffin tin game
	Pull on a rope/tug
	Sit
	Stand
	Walk on a loose leash

MOTIVATION: The term dog walk was used as the trick progresses to this. However, many submissions are being made using a dog walk at the lower levels which is contrary to what the Guidelines require. As there is a potential safety concern here, the term 'dog walk' has been removed to avoid confusion at this level.

11.2 BEGINNER TRICK DOG

Category	Trick
Balance & Coordination	Balance beam (plank[/dog walk])
	Balance on ball or peanut (all 4 feet)
Handler Interaction	[Figure 8's through legs]
	Kisses
	Peekaboo (between my legs)
	<u>Weave through handler's legs / arms</u>

MOTIVATION: The term dog walk was used as the trick progresses to this. However, many submissions are being made using a dog walk at the lower levels which is contrary to what the Guidelines require. As there is a potential safety concern here, the term 'dog walk' has been removed to avoid confusion at this level.

MOTIVATION: The three terms 'Figure 8s through legs', 'Weave through legs' and 'Weave through arms' have been conflated for simplicity and to illustrate the progression more clearly.

			<div>11.3NOVICE TRICK DOG</div> <table><tr><th>Category</th><th>Trick</th></tr><tr><td rowspan="6">Handler Interaction</td><td>[Footsies (peekaboo, dog places paws on my feet)]</td></tr><tr><td>[Leg weave]</td></tr><tr><td><u>Peekaboo (between my legs)</u></td></tr><tr><td>Remove handler's slipper, shoe</td></tr><tr><td>Under handler (handler on hands and knees)</td></tr><tr><td><u>Weave through handler's legs / arms</u></td></tr></table> <div>MOTIVATION: The three terms 'Figure 8s through legs', 'Weave through legs' and 'Weave through arms' have been conflated for simplicity and to illustrate the progression more clearly.</div> <div>MOTIVATION: The two terms 'Peekaboo' and 'Footsies' have been conflated for simplicity and to illustrate the progression more clearly.</div> <div>11.4INTERMEDIATE TRICK DOG</div> <table><tr><th>Category</th><th>Trick</th></tr><tr><td rowspan="5">Handler Interaction</td><td>[Footsies (peekaboo, dog places paws on my feet)]</td></tr><tr><td>Jersey - help handler undress</td></tr><tr><td><u>Peekaboo (between my legs)</u></td></tr><tr><td>[Weave through handler's arms]</td></tr><tr><td><u>Weave through handler's legs / arms</u></td></tr></table> <div>MOTIVATION: The three terms 'Figure 8's through legs', 'Weave through legs' and 'Weave through arms' have been conflated for simplicity and to illustrate the progression more clearly.</div> <div>MOTIVATION: The two terms 'Peekaboo' and 'Footsies' have been conflated for simplicity and to illustrate the progression more clearly.</div>	Category	Trick	Handler Interaction	[Footsies (peekaboo, dog places paws on my feet)]	[Leg weave]	<u>Peekaboo (between my legs)</u>	Remove handler's slipper, shoe	Under handler (handler on hands and knees)	<u>Weave through handler's legs / arms</u>	Category	Trick	Handler Interaction	[Footsies (peekaboo, dog places paws on my feet)]	Jersey - help handler undress	<u>Peekaboo (between my legs)</u>	[Weave through handler's arms]	<u>Weave through handler's legs / arms</u>
Category	Trick																			
Handler Interaction	[Footsies (peekaboo, dog places paws on my feet)]																			
	[Leg weave]																			
	<u>Peekaboo (between my legs)</u>																			
	Remove handler's slipper, shoe																			
	Under handler (handler on hands and knees)																			
	<u>Weave through handler's legs / arms</u>																			
Category	Trick																			
Handler Interaction	[Footsies (peekaboo, dog places paws on my feet)]																			
	Jersey - help handler undress																			
	<u>Peekaboo (between my legs)</u>																			
	[Weave through handler's arms]																			
	<u>Weave through handler's legs / arms</u>																			
13			MATTERS RELATED TO BREED JUDGING																	
	13.1		The Judges Education Council (JEC) Report by the Chairman.																	
14			MATTERS RELATED TO BREED/LIAISON COUNCILS																	
	14.1		Rottweiler Breed Council of KUSA (RBC)																	
		14.1.1	Schedule 05E(21) Regulations 6 & 8 – Rottweiler Specialist Championship Shows RBC submission: Proposal Currently, Baby Puppies can receive a maximum grading of 'Promising' instead of 'Very																	

		<p>Promising’</p> <p>Proposed: Baby puppies are awarded gradings that include ‘Very Promising’ in the interest of consistency.</p> <p>Proposed changes to Schedule 05E(21):</p> <p>Insertions in <u>bold underlining</u> and deletions in [struck through square brackets]</p> <p>CLASSES</p> <p>6.1 Classes for this show shall be as laid down in Schedule 3 of the KUSA constitution.</p> <p>6.2 All dogs shown in the Neutered Dog Class may only receive a maximum grading of “OB”.</p> <p>[6.3 All dogs shown in the Baby Puppy Dog/Bitch class may only receive a maximum grading of “vsp”].</p> <p>6.3[4] The order of judging starts at the youngest class, being the Baby Puppy Class, followed by older classes, with the first four (4) dogs being placed in each section of the Competition, Best Baby Puppy in Show, Best Puppy in Show, Best Junior in Show (if offered), Best in Show and Reserve Best in Show in this order. Any Novelty Classes will be judged after Best in Show. All Rottweiler Specialist Shows shall be Grading and Critiquing Shows.</p> <p>8. GRADINGS</p> <table><tr><th>Age</th><th>Grading</th><th>English Description</th><th>German Description</th></tr><tr><td><u>4 [6]</u>to 12 Months</td><td>v v</td><td>Very Promising</td><td>Vielversprechend</td></tr><tr><td><u>4 [6]</u>to 12 Months</td><td>vsp</td><td>Promising</td><td>Versprechend</td></tr><tr><td><u>4 [6]</u>to 12 Months</td><td>wv</td><td>Little Promise</td><td>Wenig Versprechend</td></tr></table> <p>Motivation:</p> <p>The current schedule only allows for a Promising grading for Baby Puppies, instead of Very Promising, as is the case at International Shows. This creates confusion for international Judges, administrative issues, when a Judge announces Very Promising, and is inconsistent with other Puppies, as well as international practice.</p> <p>It is proposed that this be aligned, and Baby Puppies receive Very Promising gradings as well. At worst, it still is just Very Promising, and this grading does not count towards any other awards or merits at the show or towards Championship status.</p> <p>Noted: Clean copy of Schedule provided for KUSA Office purposes, should the proposal be accepted by Fedco.</p>	Age	Grading	English Description	German Description	<u>4 [6]</u> to 12 Months	v v	Very Promising	Vielversprechend	<u>4 [6]</u> to 12 Months	vsp	Promising	Versprechend	<u>4 [6]</u> to 12 Months	wv	Little Promise	Wenig Versprechend
Age	Grading	English Description	German Description															
<u>4 [6]</u> to 12 Months	v v	Very Promising	Vielversprechend															
<u>4 [6]</u> to 12 Months	vsp	Promising	Versprechend															
<u>4 [6]</u> to 12 Months	wv	Little Promise	Wenig Versprechend															
	14.1.2	Schedule 05E(21) - Regulations for Rottweiler Specialist Championship Show.																

		<p>Schedule 05E(18) - Regulations for the South African Rottweiler Sieger Show & Schedule 05E(25) - Regulations for the Rottweiler Regional Sieger Show. Rottweiler Specialist Judges – extension of International Judges (Refer Fedco round robin Item 11.4 above)</p> <p>RBC submission:</p> <p>Proposal: The requirements for international Judges are extended to include the option to have a Rottweiler Specialist Judge who is suggested by a Club, who may not be from the current list of approved countries, and that also may not have recently (past 10 years) judged the IFR or ADRK World Show.</p> <p>These Judges are to be approved by a panel of RBC Judges, and/or RBC Officials, as determined by the RBC Judges' Convener for voting or approval, and will be recommended to KUSA.</p> <p>Insertions in <u>bold underlining</u>.</p> <p>Proposed changes to Schedule 05E(21): 5 JUDGES 5.1.2.2. Alternatively, any Rottweiler Judge from any country where he/she has judged at the IFR World Show or the ADRK World Family Show within the last 10 years, and who is also approved by KUSA, in consultation with the RBC. A Judge having judged at one of these two events is deemed to have met the Specialist Judge requirements. <u>However, where a Rottweiler Specialist Judge has not judged at the forementioned shows, the host club may request the RBC Judge's Convener to request a panel of RBC Judges, or RBC Officials, to review the Judge and recommend to KUSA to accept the Judge.</u></p> <p>Proposed changes to Schedule 05E(18): 8. JUDGES Any Judge or Judges selected to judge this competition shall be Kennel Union Panel Rottweiler Judges, who are also Rottweiler Breed Council Specialist Grading and Critiquing Judges or, in the case of foreign Judges, qualified to grade and critique as Specialist Judges, in their own countries of permanent residence. Specialist Rottweiler Judges from a list of countries which, for the time being, comprises Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Belgium, Norway, Finland, Sweden, Denmark, Switzerland, <u>Austria, Portugal, Spain</u> who are also approved by KUSA. Alternatively, any judge from any country where he/she has judged at the IFR World Show or the ADRK World Family Show within the last 10 years, and who is also approved by KUSA, in consultation with the RBC. A Judge having judged at one of these two events is deemed to have met the Specialist Judge requirements. <u>However, where a Rottweiler Specialist Judge has not judged at the forementioned shows, the host club may request the RBC Judge's Convener to request a panel of RBC Judges, or RBC Officials, to review the Judge and recommend to KUSA to accept the Judge.</u></p> <p>Proposed changes to Schedule 05E(25): 8. JUDGES Any Judge or Judges selected to judge this competition shall be Kennel Union Panel Rottweiler Judges, who are also Rottweiler Breed Council Specialist Grading and Critiquing Judges or, in the case of foreign Judges, qualified to grade and critique as Specialist Judges, in their own countries of permanent residence. Specialist Rottweiler judges from a list of countries which, for the time being, comprises Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Belgium, Norway, Finland, Sweden, Denmark, Switzerland, <u>Austria, Portugal, Spain</u> who are also approved by KUSA. Alternatively, any judge from any country where he/she has</p>
--	--	---

		<p>judged at the IFR World Show or the ADRK World Family Show within the last 10 years, and who is also approved by KUSA, in consultation with the RBC. A Judge having judged at one of these two events is deemed to have met the Specialist Judge requirements.</p> <p><u>However, where a Rottweiler Specialist Judge has not judged at the forementioned shows, the host club may request the RBC Judge's Convener to request a panel of RBC Judges, or RBC Officials, to review the Judge and recommend to KUSA to accept the Judge.</u></p> <p>Motivation: The current schedule only allows for a Rottweiler Specialist Judge meeting the following requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A Rottweiler Specialist Judge approved by KUSA/RBC from Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Belgium, Norway, Sweden, Denmark, Switzerland, <u>Austria, Portugal, Spain.</u> • Alternatively, any Rottweiler Judge from any country where he/she has judged at the IFR World Show or the ADRK World Family Show within the last 10 years, and who is also approved by KUSA, in consultation with the RBC. A Judge having judged at one of these two events is deemed to have met the Specialist Judge requirements. <p>This requirement still excludes certain excellent judges from countries where the Judge may not have judged the two prestigious World Shows during the last 10 years. This opens up many other reputable Judges to have the option for officiating, once the panel has reviewed, and will be able to provide the KUSA office with a recommendation for the Judge.</p> <p>Noted: Clean copies of Schedules provided for KUSA Office purposes, should the proposal be accepted by Fedco.</p>
	14.1.3	<p>Schedule 05E(18) Regulations for the South African Rottweiler Sieger Show. Introduction of Breed Assessment (BA) (Refer Fedco round robin Item 11.3.above)</p> <p>RBC submission</p> <p>Proposal: The RBC introduced the Character Test requirements for Sieger Shows, effective 1 January 2022, which required only the Sieger dogs (adult titles) to have completed a Character Test or full Breed Survey Test (not the Breed Assessment). The proposal is to add the Breed Assessment element as well.</p> <p>Insertion in <u>bold underlining</u> and deletions in [struck through square brackets] Proposed changes to Schedule 05E(18)</p> <p>6. <u>REQUIREMENTS</u> [REQUIRMENTS] FOR THE <u>ZA SIEGER SHOW</u> TITLES</p> <p>6.2 Entrants to the ZA Sieger Competition must have: either a Breed Suitability Test (BST) or Character Test (CT) <u>or Breed Assessment (BA)</u> awarded at a South African Rottweiler Specialist Show, AND been graded 'V1' awarded only on the day/s of the Show.</p> <p>Motivation: The RBC clubs, at a special meeting held January 2022, felt that many clubs and exhibitors may not be ready for the full 2022 requirements to include the Character Test as part of the National Sieger title. In order to assist exhibitors with the requirements, the phased-in approach of including the Breed Assessment would make this easier for exhibitors for the next few years. The current requirements for Champions already include a Breed Assessment, and thus only the "CC dog" or other adult dog competing would also need to have a BA in order to be awarded the Sieger title. Note that the requirement is not</p>

			<p>applicable for Junior dogs. The previous requirement for the Character Test or Breed Suitability Test remains.</p> <p>Noted: Clean copies of Schedules provided for KUSA Office purposes, should the proposal be accepted by Fedco.</p>
	14.2		Federation of Boxer Clubs of SA Breed Council (FBCSA BC)
	14.3		German Shepherd Dog Liaison Council (GSDLC)
		14.3.1	<p>German Shepherd Dog Specialist Championship and Open Shows.</p> <p>Proposal submitted by the GSDLC, unanimously approved by the Council at their meeting 03.03 2022.</p> <p>Proposed Schedule attached.</p>
		14.3.2	<p>German Shepherd Single Dog Registration requirements.</p> <p>Proposal submitted by the GSDLC unanimously approved by the Council at their last meeting.</p> <p>Proposal. To abolish the DNA requirement for a single dog registration.</p> <p>Motivation DNA is a breeding requirement and not a registration requirement and all dogs registered, that will subsequently be used for breeding, will have to provide DNA before the mating.</p> <p>Dog owners would like to register their dogs with KUSA in order to participate in trials but cannot with the current DNA requirement. Owners of neutered dogs especially are not willing to have DNA solely for registration.</p> <p>The working register is only a limited solution for these dog owners as only dogs registered on the Breed register can enter the KUSA IGP Meisterschaft.</p>
15			<p>KUSA CLASSIC 2022</p> <p>Report-back.</p>
16			MATTERS BROUGHT FORWARD BY THE CHAIRMAN
		16.1	German Shepherd Dog Federation of South Africa (GSDFSA) vs Department of Agriculture, Land Reform & Rural Development (DALRRD) and Others
17			GENERAL
18			<p>DATES OF FUTURE MEETINGS – CONFIRMED</p> <p>2022 2, 3 & 4 December 2022.</p> <p>TO BE CONFIRMED</p> <p>2023: 23, 24 & 25 June 2023 1, 2 & 3 December 2023</p>
19			CLOSING